

A DFA FOR ENUMERATING EVEN-ORDER IRREDUCIBLE DIAGRAMS MODULO 3

John M. Campbell
jmaxwellcampbell@gmail.com

Let a_n denote the n^{th} term in the OEIS sequence with serial number A000699. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a_n is defined as the number of irreducible diagrams with $2n$ nodes. This is a very interesting integer sequence with applications in quantum field theory and Kreimer's Hopf algebra of rooted trees. It seems natural to consider combinatorial and number-theoretic properties related to the Fredholm–Rueppel-like sequence

$$(a_n \pmod 3) : n \in \mathbb{N} = (1, 1, 1, 0, 2, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 2, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$$

obtained by reducing the OEIS sequence A000699 modulo 3.

Theorem 1. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that:*

$$a_n \equiv_3 \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the ternary expansion of } n \text{ is of the form } 1\underbrace{00\dots 0}_{\geq 0} \\ & \text{or is of the form } \underbrace{11\dots 1}_{\text{even, } \geq 0} \underbrace{200\dots 0}_{\geq 0}; \\ 2 & \text{if the ternary expansion of } n \text{ is of the form } \underbrace{11\dots 1}_{\text{odd}} \underbrace{200\dots 0}_{\geq 0}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. As an inductive hypothesis, suppose that the above theorem holds for all $m \leq n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The corresponding base case holds trivially.

Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be an index as given in the summation

$$A000699_n = (n - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A000699_i A000699_{n-i}.$$

Our inductive proof largely amounts to case analysis.

Case 1: Suppose that the ternary expansion of n is of the form $1\underbrace{00\dots 0}_{\ell_1 \geq 0}$.

Suppose that the expression $A000699_i A000699_{n-i}$ does not vanish. By our inductive hypothesis, the ternary expansions of $A000699_i$ and $A000699_{n-i}$ each must be of one of the following forms:

- (a) $1\underbrace{00\dots 0}_{\geq 0}$;
- (b) $\underbrace{11\dots 1}_{\text{even, } \geq 0} \underbrace{200\dots 0}_{\geq 0}$;
- (c) $\underbrace{11\dots 1}_{\text{odd}} \underbrace{200\dots 0}_{\geq 0}$;

Since the ternary expansion of i plus the ternary expansion of $n - i$ must yield $1 \underbrace{00 \dots 0}_{\ell_1 \geq 0}$, it is easily seen that either the expansion $n - i$ is of the form $2 \underbrace{00 \dots 0}_{\ell_2 \geq 0}$ and $\ell_1 = \ell_2 + 1$ and the expansion of i is of the form $1 \underbrace{00 \dots 0}_{\ell_2 \geq 0}$, or vice-versa.

So, in this case, by our inductive hypothesis, we have that the reduction of $A000699_n$ modulo 3 is equal to

$$(n - 1) \pmod{3} (1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 1),$$

which reduces to $2(1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 1)$ modulo 3. Therefore, we have that

$$A000699_n \equiv_3 1$$

in the case whereby the ternary expansion of n is of the form $1 \underbrace{00 \dots 0}_{\geq 0}$.

Similar case analyses may be applied in the remaining cases, and we leave it as an exercise to verify that our inductive technique may be applied in the remaining cases. \square

It is thus easily seen that the DFA given below produces the OEIS sequence A000699 modulo 3.

