Tribonacci Facts

Jeffrey Shallit School of Computer Science University of Waterloo Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1 Canada shallit@cs.uwaterloo.ca

July 20, 2019

Abstract

We prove some things about the occurrences of letters in the Tribonacci word. (Originally written in November 2016; a crucial typo was corrected July 20 2019.)

1 Introduction

The Tribonacci numbers are defined by $T_0 = 0$, $T_1 = 1$, $T_2 = 1$, and $T_n = T_{n-1} + T_{n-2} + T_{n-3}$ for $n \ge 3$.

From the theory of linear recurrences, we know that if $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ are the roots of the cubic equation $X^3 - X^2 - X - 1 = 0$, then there are complex numbers c_1, c_2, c_3 such that

$$T_n = c_1 \alpha_1^n + c_2 \alpha_2^n + c_3 \alpha_3^n.$$

Here c_1, c_2, c_3 are the roots of the cubic

$$44X^3 - 2X - 1 = 0.$$

To fix the ordering, we set

 $\alpha_1 \doteq 1.83928675521416113255185256465328660042417874609759224677875$

and

 $c_1 \doteq 0.33622811699494109422536295401433241515792609002045928$

$$\begin{split} c_2 &\doteq -0.16811405849747054711268147700716620 - 0.1983241400811494572822790357963192879565i \\ c_3 &\doteq -0.16811405849747054711268147700716620 + 0.1983241400811494572822790357963192879565i \end{split}$$

More precisely we have

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{1 + \sqrt[3]{19 - 3\sqrt{33}} + \sqrt[3]{19 + 3\sqrt{33}}}{3}$$
$$c_1 = \frac{\sqrt[3]{3267 - 561\sqrt{33}} + \sqrt[3]{3267 - 561\sqrt{33}}}{66}$$

while

 $|\alpha_2| = |\alpha_3| \doteq 0.737352705760327675201759650508121123340282406926556567235613$ satisfies the equation $X^6 + X^4 + X^2 - 1 = 0$ and has closed form

$$\sqrt{\frac{(17+3\sqrt{33})^{1/3}-(-17+3\sqrt{33})^{1/3}-1}{3}}$$

and

 $|c_2| = |c_3| \doteq 0.259990002122039957740959621838206588231251679990783647021$

satisfies the equation $1936X^6 + 88X^4 - 1 = 0$ and has closed form

$$\sqrt{-\frac{1}{66} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{293 + 51\sqrt{33}}{1149984}} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{293 - 51\sqrt{33}}{1149984}}}.$$

2 Tribonacci inequalities

Lemma 1. For $n \ge 0$ we have $|T_n - c_1 \alpha_1^n| \le 0.520 \cdot 0.738^n$.

Proof. We have $T_n = c_1 \alpha_1^n + c_2 \alpha_2^n + c_3 \alpha_3^n$, so

$$|T_n - c_1 \alpha_1^n| = |c_2 \alpha_2^n + c_3 \alpha_3^n|$$

$$\leq |c_2| |\alpha_2|^n + |c_3| |\alpha_3|^n$$

$$= 2|c_2| |\alpha_2|^n$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot 0.260 \cdot 0.738^n$$

$$= 0.520 \cdot 0.738^n.$$

Lemma 2. For $n \ge 0$ we have

$$|T_{n+1} - \alpha_1 T_n| \le 1.342 \cdot .738^n.$$

Proof. From Lemma 1, we have

$$T_{n+1} - c_1 \alpha_1^{n+1} \le 0.520 \cdot 0.738^{n+1} \tag{1}$$

and $|T_n - c_1 \alpha_1^n| \leq 0.520 \cdot 0.738^n$. Multiplying this last equation by α_1 , we get

$$|\alpha_1 T_n - c_1 \alpha_1^{n+1}| \le 0.957 \cdot 0.738^n.$$
(2)

Adding (1) to (2) and applying the triangle inequality, we get

$$|T_{n+1} - \alpha_1 T_n| \le 1.341 \cdot 0.738^n.$$

Similarly, we can prove

Lemma 3. $|T_{n+2} - \alpha_1^2 T_n| \le 2.043 \cdot 0.738^n$.

Lemma 4. $|T_{n+3} - \alpha_1^3 T_n| \le 3.445 \cdot 0.738^n$.

The proof is left to the reader.

Lemma 5. For all $n \ge 0$ we have $(a) -.596 < [(n)_T 0]_T - \alpha_1 n < .856;$ $(b) -.883 < [(n)_T 00]_T - \alpha_1^2 n < 1.460;$ $(c) -1.461 < [(n)_T 000]_T - \alpha_1^3 n < 2.298.$

Proof. (a) Write n in its canonical Tribonacci representation, say $n = T_{e_1} + T_{e_2} + \cdots + T_{e_s}$ for $e_1 > e_2 > \cdots > e_s$. Then $[(n)_T 0]_T = T_{e_1+1} + T_{e_2+1} + \cdots + T_{e_i+1}$, so

$$[(n)_T 0]_T - \alpha_1 n = \sum_{1 \le i \le s} (T_{e_i+1} - \alpha_1 T_{e_i}).$$

Break up this sum into two pieces, one where $2 \le e_i \le 20$, and one where $e_i > 20$. The latter sum is bounded in absolute value by $\sum_{j\ge 21} 1.341 \cdot 0.738^j \le .009$. The former sum can be bounded by actually computing it for all $n < T_{21} = 121415$. The minimum is achieved at n = 65915 and is, rounded down, equal to -0.587. The maximum is achieved at n = 78748 and is, rounded up, equal to 0.847. Hence $-0.596 < [(n)_T 0]_T - \alpha_1 n < 0.856$.

In a similar way we can prove (b) and (c). For (b) the maximum of the appropriate sum is (rounded up) 1.446 and is achieved at n = 78667. The minimum is (rounded down) -0.869 and is achieved at n = 65996. Since $\sum_{j\geq 21} 2.043 \cdot 0.738^j \leq .014$, the bound follows.

For (c) the maximum of the appropriate sum is (rounded up) 2.275 and is achieved at n = 78667. The minimum is (rounded down) -1.438 and is achieved at n = 65996. Since $\sum_{j>21} 3.445 \cdot 0.738^j \leq .023$, the bound follows.

3 Tribonacci words

We deal with words over the alphabet $\{0, 1, 2\}$. We let ϵ denote the empty word. By |w| we mean the length of the word w and by $|w|_e$ for $e \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ we mean the number of occurrences of the symbol e in w. For words x, y by xy we mean the concatenation of x with

y. By w^n we mean the word $\widetilde{ww\cdots w}$.

Define a sequence of words $(t_i)_{i\geq 0}$ as follows:

$$t_0 = \epsilon$$

$$t_1 = 2$$

$$t_2 = 0$$

$$t_3 = 01$$

$$t_n = t_{n-1}t_{n-2}t_{n-3} \text{ for } n \ge 4.$$

Define the morphism φ as follows:

$$\varphi(0) = 01$$
$$\varphi(1) = 02$$
$$\varphi(2) = 0$$

It is now easy to prove the following results by induction:

Lemma 6. (a) For $n \ge 0$ we have $|t_n| = T_n$;

(b) For $n \ge 2$ and $e \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ we have $|t_n|_e = T_{n-e-1}$;

(c) For $n \ge 0$ we have $\varphi^n(0) = t_{n+2}$;

(d) For $n \ge 1$ we have $\varphi(t_n) = t_{n+1}$;

(e) For $n \ge 2$ t_n is a prefix of $\mathbf{T} = \varphi^{\omega}(0)$.

We let $(n)_T$ denote the Tribonacci representation of n and $[w]_T$ be the integer whose Tribonacci representation is given by w.

Lemma 7. The n'th occurrence of 0 in \mathbf{T} is at position $[(n)_T 0]_T$; the n'th occurrence of 1 in \mathbf{T} is at position $[(n)_T 01]_T$; the n'th occurrence of 2 in \mathbf{T} is at position $[(n)_T 011]_T$. In other words, the n'th occurrence of e in \mathbf{T} is at position $[(n)_T 01^e]_T$, for $e \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

Remark 8. Here we index \mathbf{T} starting at position 0 and the "first" occurrence is actually the 0'th occurrence. So in this section, we are using 0-origin indexing for both concepts.

Proof. By induction on n. Let $e \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, and let $f_e(n)$ be the position of the n'th occurrence of e in \mathbf{T} .

Base case: the base case is $n \leq 4$, and is left to the reader.

For the induction step, assume the claim is true for all $n \leq T_k$ for some $k \geq 4$. We prove it for $T_k < n \leq T_{k+1}$. There are two cases:

(i) $T_k < n \le T_k + T_{k-1};$

(ii) $T_k + T_{k-1} < n \le T_{k+1}$.

Using Lemma 6 (a) and (e), write $|\mathbf{T}[0..T_{k+e+2} - 1]|$ as $t_{k+e+2} = t_{k+e+1}t_{k+e}t_{k+e-1}$. By Lemma 6 (b) we have $|t_{k+e+1}|_e = T_k$ and $|t_{k+e}|_e = T_{k-1}$.

Let us consider (i). In this case $f_e(n) = T_{k+e+1} + f_e(n - T_k)$. Now $1 \le n - T_k \le T_{k-1}$, so by induction we have $f_e(n - T_k) = [(n - T_k)_T 01^e]_T$. Then $f_e(n) = T_{k+e+1} + [(n - T_k)_T 01^e]_T = [(n)_T 01^e]$, as desired.

Now let us consider (ii). In this case $f_e(n) = T_{k+e+1} + T_{k+e} + f_e(n - T_k - T_{k-1})$. Now $1 < n - T_k - T_{k-1} \le T_{k+1} - T_k - T_{k-1} = T_{k-2}$, so by induction we have $f_e(n - T_k - T_{k-1}) = [(n - T_k - T_{k-1})_T 01^e]_T$. Then $f_e(n) = T_{k+e+1} + T_{k+e} + [(n - T_k - T_{k-1})_T 01^e]_T = [(n)_T 01^e]$, as desired.

4 Main results

In this section we change our indexing to starting at 1.

Define A(n) to be the sequence <u>A003144</u> in the OEIS, i.e., the position (starting with position 1) of the *n*'th occurrence of the letter 0 in the Tribonacci word **T** (where the first occurrence is n = 1).

Similarly, define B(n) to be sequence <u>A003145</u> in the OEIS, which is the position of the *n*'th occurrence of 1, and C(n) to be sequence <u>A003146</u>, which is the position of the *n*'th occurrence of 2.

So, from Lemma 7, we have

$$A(n) = 1 + [(n-1)_T 0]_T$$

$$B(n) = 1 + [(n-1)_T 0 1]_T$$

$$C(n) = 1 + [(n-1)_T 0 1 1]_T$$

We can now state the main result.

Theorem 9. For all $n \ge 1$ we have

$$A(n) - 1 \le \lfloor \alpha_1 n \rfloor \le A(n) + 1$$

$$B(n) - 1 \le \lfloor \alpha_1^2 n \rfloor \le B(n) + 2$$

$$C(n) - 1 \le \lfloor \alpha_1^3 n \rfloor \le C(n) + 3.$$

Proof. From Lemma 5 we get $-.596 < [(n)_T 0]_T - \alpha_1 n < .856$. Since $A(n) = 1 + [(n-1)_T 0]_T$, we get $-.596 < A(n) - 1 - \alpha_1(n-1) < .856$. Hence $.404 < A(n) - \alpha_1 n + \alpha_1 < 1.856$, and, subtracting α_1 , we get $-1.436 < A(n) - \alpha_1 n < .017$. Negating, we get $-.017 < \alpha_1 n - A(n) < 1.436$. Adding A(n), we get $A(n) - .017 < \alpha_1 n < A(n) + 1.436$. Taking floors gives us the desired result. This proves the first inequality.

From Lemma 5 we have $-.883 < [(n)_T 00]_T - \alpha_1^2 n < 1.460$ for all $n \ge 0$. Since $B(n) = 1 + [(n-1)_T 01]_T$, we get $-.883 < B(n) - 2 - \alpha_1^2 (n-1) < 1.460$ for all $n \ge 1$. Hence $1.117 < B(n) - \alpha_1^2 n + \alpha_1^2 < 3.460$, and, subtracting α_1^2 , we get $-2.266 < B(n) - \alpha_1^2 n < .078$. Negating, we get $-.078 < \alpha_1^2 n - B(n) < 2.266$. Adding B(n), we get $B(n) - .078 < \alpha_1^2 n < B(n) + 2.266$. Taking floors gives us the desired result. This proves the second inequality.

From Lemma 5 we get $-1.461 < [(n)_T 000]_T - \alpha_1^3 n < 2.298$ for all $n \ge 0$. Since $C(n) = 1 + [(n-1)_T 0]_T$, we get $-1.461 < C(n) - 4 - \alpha_1^3 (n-1) < 2.298$ for all $n \ge 1$. Hence $2.539 < C(n) - \alpha_1^3 n + \alpha_1^3 < 6.298$, and, subtracting α_1^3 , we get $-3.684 < C(n) - \alpha_1^3 n < .076$. Negating, we get $-.076 < \alpha_1^3 n - C(n) < 3.684$. Adding C(n), we get $C(n) - .076 < \alpha_1^3 n < C(n) + 3.684$. Taking floors gives us the desired result. This proves the last inequality. \Box

Remark 10. The closeness of the lower bounds suggests that cases where $A(n) - 1 = \lfloor \alpha_1 n \rfloor$ should be rather rare, and similarly for B(n) - 1 and C(n) - 1. Indeed, the smallest n for which $A(n) - 1 = \lfloor \alpha_1 n \rfloor$ is n = 12737. Similarly, for B(n) - 1 it is 329 and and for C(n) - 1it is 2047.