

Maple-assisted proof of empirical formula for A267243

Robert Israel

Sep 8 2019

Consider a "state" of the system to be a 1×11 binary array x , where $x_1 \dots x_6$ represent a row, and for j from 7 to 11, $x_j = 1$ if this row and the previous ones have already determined that column $j - 5$ is lexicographically greater than column $j - 6$. In particular if $x_1 \neq x_2$ we must have $x_7 = 1$ and similarly for the others.

We enumerate the 486 possible states:

```
> S[2]:= [[0,0,0],[0,0,1],[0,1,1],[1,0,1],[1,1,0],[1,1,1]]:
  for i from 3 to 6 do
    S[i]:= map(proc(t) [op(t[1..i-1]),t[i-1],op(t[i..-1]),0], [op(t
      [1..i-1]),t[i-1],op(t[i..-1]),1],
        [op(t[1..i-1]),1-t[i-1],op(t[i..-1]),1] end proc, S[i-1])
  od:
  states:= S[6]:
  nops(states);
```

486 (1)

Although it is not part of the $n \times 6$ array, we may imagine that we start in state $[0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0]$. Let T be the 486×486 transition matrix where $T_{ij} = 1$ if state j can be followed by state i .

```
> T:= Matrix(486,486,proc(i,j) local k;
  if add(states[j,k]-states[i,k],k=1..6) > 0 then return 0 fi;
  for k from 7 to 11 do if states[j,k]>states[i,k] then return 0
  fi od;
  for k from 1 to 5 do if states[i,k]>=states[i,k+1] and states
  [j,k+6]<>states[i,k+6] then return 0 fi od;
  1
end proc):
```

Then we should have $a_n = u^T T^n e$ where $u = (1, \dots, 1)^T$ and $e = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$. To check, we compute the first few terms of the sequence. .

```
> E:= Vector(486): E[1]:= 1:
  U[0]:= Vector[row](486,1):
  for k from 1 to 25 do U[k]:= U[k-1].T od:
  seq(U[j] . E, j=1..25);
```

7, 50, 475, 6292, 107015, 2093467, 43555569, 924051709, 19614050515, 413556580944, (2)
 8645774602327, 179276181587698, 3691120876565687, 75550095426967737,
 1538986699132717645, 31229753343696948035, 631791852881928155235,
 12750422028897904475590, 256826148905935550268931,
 5165267718138616456601312, 103758670192017224788929223,
 2082304954694800745278445671, 41758046536776451033631049641,
 836914729711338718829412150073, 16765720177011053621132904185811

Now the empirical formula is

```
> Emp:= a(n) = 114*a(n-1) -5915*a(n-2) +186008*a(n-3) -3982785*a
```

```

(n-4) +61835542*a(n-5) -723657627*a(n-6) +6549515604*a(n-7)
-46652032035*a(n-8) +264676225246*a(n-9) -1205477853945*a(n-10)
+4427867737616*a(n-11) -13139368875011*a(n-12) +31468929403866*a
(n-13) -60602488003009*a(n-14) +93197329064964*a(n-15)
-113220771193368*a(n-16) +106920682204032*a(n-17)
-76630180181904*a(n-18) +40173465734208*a(n-19) -14497964755200*a
(n-20) +3213273369600*a(n-21) -329204736000*a(n-22) :

```

This corresponds to $u^T P(T) T^n e = 0$ where $P(x)$ is the following polynomial of degree 22:

```

> P:= x^22 - add(coeff(rhs(Emp), a(n-i)) *x^(22-i), i=1..22) ;
P := x^22 - 114 x^21 + 5915 x^20 - 186008 x^19 + 3982785 x^18 - 61835542 x^17 + 723657627 x^16
- 6549515604 x^15 + 46652032035 x^14 - 264676225246 x^13 + 1205477853945 x^12
- 4427867737616 x^11 + 13139368875011 x^10 - 31468929403866 x^9
+ 60602488003009 x^8 - 93197329064964 x^7 + 113220771193368 x^6
- 106920682204032 x^5 + 76630180181904 x^4 - 40173465734208 x^3
+ 14497964755200 x^2 - 3213273369600 x + 329204736000

```

(3)

It turns out that $u^T P(T) = 0$. The verification of this completes the proof.

```

> UP:= add(coeff(P, x, j) *U[j], j=0..22) :
UP . UP^%T;

```

0

(4)