

DIVISIBILITY SEQUENCES FROM STRONG DIVISIBILITY SEQUENCES

PETER BALA, Mar 2014 (revised Aug 08 2019)

Let $S(n)$ be a (non-vanishing) strong divisibility sequence. If p and q are relatively prime positive integers we show that the sequence $S(pn)S(qn)/S(n)$ is a divisibility sequence. We give some examples of divisibility sequences of bivariate polynomials constructed by this method. Specializing these polynomials leads us to families of linear divisibility sequences over \mathbb{Z} , that is, integer divisibility sequences whose terms obey a linear recurrence equation having integer coefficients. The natural setting for defining a strong divisibility sequence is that of a GCD domain. We begin by recalling the basic theory of these domains.

1. GCD domains

An integral domain D (commutative ring with unity and no zero divisors) is a GCD domain if every pair a, b of nonzero elements has a greatest common divisor, denoted by $\gcd(a, b)$. The greatest common divisor satisfies the universal property that $\gcd(a, b)$ is a divisor of a and b and if d divides both a and b (written $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$) then d divides $\gcd(a, b)$. The element $\gcd(a, b)$ is not unique but only determined up to a unit of D .

Every UFD is a GCD domain. So, for example, the ring of integers \mathbb{Z} is a GCD domain as are the polynomial rings $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$. We gather together the results we need concerning GCD domains in the form of a Proposition. See Woo [4] for the proof that every GCD domain is also a LCM domain.

Proposition 1.1 *Let D be a GCD domain.*

- (i) $\gcd(ab, ac) = a \gcd(b, c) \quad a, b, c \in D$
- (ii) *The gcd function is a multiplicative function; that is, if $\gcd(a_1, a_2) = 1$ then*

$$\gcd(a_1 a_2, b) = \gcd(a_1, b) \gcd(a_2, b).$$

- (iii) *D is a LCM domain; that is, every pair a, b of nonzero elements in D has a least common multiple, denoted by $\text{lcm}(a, b)$ (determined only up to a unit of D). There holds*

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) \gcd(a, b) = ab$$

- (iv) *If $a \mid a'$ and $b \mid b'$ in the domain D then $\text{lcm}(a, b) \mid \text{lcm}(a', b')$ in D . \square*

Note, due to the ambiguity in the choice of the lcm and the gcd, the above equations are really equivalence relations whose lhs and rhs differ by a unit of the domain D . The same remark applies to other equations throughout this document involving the gcd or lcm functions.

2. Divisibility and strong divisibility sequences

A sequence $\{a(n)_{n \geq 1}\}$, where the terms $a(n)$ belongs to an integral domain, is called a divisibility sequence if $a(n)$ divides $a(nm)$ for all natural numbers n and m where $a(n) \neq 0$.

A sequence $\{a(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ of elements of a GCD domain D is said to be strong divisibility sequence (SDS for short) if for all natural numbers n and m we have $\gcd(a(n), a(m)) = a(\gcd(n, m))$. Note the abuse of notation here: the gcd on the lhs of the equation refers to the domain D while the gcd on the rhs is taken in \mathbb{Z} . A strong divisibility sequence is also a divisibility sequence since for all natural numbers n and m we have $\gcd(a(n), a(nm)) = a(\gcd(n, nm)) = a(n)$. Thus $a(n) \mid a(nm)$.

Proposition 2.1 *Let $S(n)$ be a strong divisibility sequence of nonzero elements of a GCD domain D . Let p and q be relatively prime positive integers. Then the sequence $\{X(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ defined by*

$$X(n) = \frac{S(pn)S(qn)}{S(n)}$$

is a divisibility sequence in D .

Proof

Since a strong divisibility sequence is also a divisibility sequence we have $S(n) \mid S(pn)$ in D for all natural numbers n . Hence $X(n)$ belongs to D for every n . We need to show that $X(n) \mid X(nm)$ in D for all natural numbers n and m .

Now by the assumption on S we have

$$\gcd(S(pn), S(qn)) = S(\gcd(pn, qn)) = S(n),$$

since p and q are relatively prime. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} X(n) &= \frac{S(pn)S(qn)}{S(n)} \\ &= \frac{S(pn)S(qn)}{\gcd(S(pn), S(qn))} \\ &= \text{lcm}(S(pn), S(qn)) \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 1.1 (iii). Therefore

$$\frac{X(nm)}{X(n)} = \frac{\text{lcm}(S(pnm), S(qnm))}{\text{lcm}(S(pn), S(qn))},$$

which belongs to the domain D by Proposition 1.1 (iv), since $S(pn) \mid S(pnm)$ and $S(qn) \mid S(qnm)$ in D . Thus $X(n) \mid X(nm)$ in D for all natural numbers n and m . \square

Example 2.1 The sequence of Fibonacci numbers $F(n)$ is a SDS. Therefore, for each pair of coprime positive integers p and q , the sequence $F(pn)F(qn)/F(n)$ is an integer divisibility sequence.

Example 2.2 The sequence of Mersenne numbers $2^n - 1$ is a SDS. Therefore, by Proposition 2.1 with $p = 2$ and q odd, the sequence $(2^{2n} - 1)(2^{qn} - 1)/(2^n - 1) = (2^n + 1)(2^{qn} - 1)$ is an integer divisibility sequence.

In order to apply Proposition 2.1 to produce divisibility sequences we need a supply of strong divisibility sequences.

Proposition 2.2 *Let D be a GCD domain. Let a and b be relatively prime elements in $D - \{0\}$, that is, $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. The sequence $S(n)$ defined by the second-order linear recurrence*

$$S(n) = aS(n-1) + bS(n-2), \quad [S(0) = 0, S(1) = 1]$$

is a strong divisibility sequence, that is,

$$\gcd(S(n), S(m)) = S(\gcd(n, m))$$

for all natural numbers n and m .

Lucas [2] gave a proof of this result when the domain $D = \mathbb{Z}$. Norfleet [3, Theorem 3] proved the result for the domain $D = \mathbb{Z}[x]$. If we examine Norfleet's proof we see that it only uses the fact that the domain $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a GCD domain and so his proof can be immediately extended to prove Proposition 2.2. We present a modified version of Norfleet's proof in the Appendix.

3. Divisibility sequences of polynomials

In this section we apply the results of Section 2 to the particular GCD domain $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ to produce examples of divisibility sequences of bivariate polynomials.

Proposition 3.1

(i) *The sequence of homogeneous bivariate polynomials $U(n) \equiv U(n, x, y)$ defined by*

$$U(n, x, y) = \frac{x^n - y^n}{x - y} \tag{1}$$

is a strong divisibility sequence of polynomials in the domain $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$.

(ii) *The sequence of homogeneous bivariate polynomials $L(n) \equiv L(n, x, y)$ defined by*

$$L(n, x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^n - y^n}{x - y} & n \text{ odd} \\ \frac{x^n - y^n}{x^2 - y^2} & n \text{ even} \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

is a strong divisibility sequence of polynomials in the domain $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$.

Proof

(i) This well-known result is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.2 since one easily verifies that $U(n)$ satisfies the second-order linear recurrence

$$U(n+1) = (x+y)U(n) - xyU(n-1)$$

with $U(0) = 0$, $U(1) = 1$.

(ii) The sequence of polynomials $L(n)$ satisfies the fourth-order linear recurrence

$$L(n) = (x^2 + y^2)L(n-2) - (xy)^2L(n-4)$$

with initial conditions $L(0) = 0$, $L(1) = 1$, $L(2) = 1$ and $L(3) = x^2 + xy + y^2$, so we can't directly apply Proposition 2.2. However, we clearly have $L(n) = U(n)$ when n is odd and $(x + y)L(n) = U(n)$ when n is even. Using this we will show that the strong divisibility property $\gcd(L(n), L(m)) = L(\gcd(n, m))$ of the sequence $L(n)$ follows from part (i) of the Proposition. We need to examine various cases.

Firstly, consider the case where both n, m are odd. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\gcd(L(n), L(m)) &= \gcd(U(n), U(m)) \\ &= U(\gcd(n, m)) && \text{by part (i)} \\ &= L(\gcd(n, m))\end{aligned}$$

since $\gcd(n, m)$ is odd.

Secondly, suppose both n, m are even. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(x + y)\gcd(L(n), L(m)) &= \gcd((x + y)L(n), (x + y)L(m)) \\ &= \gcd(U(n), U(m)) \\ &= U(\gcd(n, m)) && \text{by part (i)}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\gcd(L(n), L(m)) &= \frac{U(\gcd(n, m))}{x + y} \\ &= L(\gcd(n, m))\end{aligned}$$

since $\gcd(n, m)$ is even.

Finally, consider the case where n, m are of different parity, say, n odd and m even. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\gcd(L(n), (x + y)L(m)) &= \gcd(U(n), U(m)) \\ &= U(\gcd(n, m)) && \text{by part (i)} \\ &= L(\gcd(n, m))\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

since $\gcd(n, m)$ is odd.

Now it is easy to see that $x + y$ is coprime to $L(n) = (x^n - y^n)/(x - y)$ since n is odd, and also coprime to $L(m) = (x^m - y^m)/(x^2 - y^2)$ since m is even. Therefore, since the gcd function is a multiplicative function (Proposition 1.1 (ii)), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\gcd(L(n), (x + y)L(m)) &= \gcd(L(n), x + y)\gcd(L(n), L(m)) \\ &= \gcd(L(n), L(m)).\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Comparing (3) and (4) we see that for this case we again have $\gcd(L(n), L(m)) = L(\gcd(n, m))$ and the proof that $L(n)$ is a strong divisibility sequence is complete. \square

Applying Proposition 2.1 to the strong divisibility sequences $U(n) = U(n, x, y)$ and $L(n) = L(n, x, y)$ as defined in Proposition 3.1 yields the following result.

Proposition 3.2 *Let p, q be a pair of coprime positive integers. The pair of sequences of homogeneous polynomials $A(n) \equiv A(n, x, y)$ and $B(n) \equiv B(n, x, y)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} A(n) &= \frac{U(pn)U(qn)}{U(n)} \\ &= \frac{(x^{pn} - y^{pn})(x^{qn} - y^{qn})}{(x^n - y^n)(x - y)} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and

$$B(n) = \frac{L(pn, x, y)L(qn, x, y)}{L(n, x, y)} \quad (6)$$

are divisibility sequences of polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$. \square

By calculating the ordinary generating function (ogf) of the sequence of polynomials $A(n)$ (resp. $B(n)$) it can be shown that $A(n)$ (resp. $B(n)$) satisfies a linear recurrence of order $2 \min(p, q)$ (resp. $4 \min(p, q)$). The following example illustrates this point.

Example 3.1 Take $p = 2$ and $q = 3$. Find the ogf of the normalized sequence $A(n)/A(1)$. We have from (5)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A(n)}{A(1)} &= \frac{(x - y)(x^{2n} - y^{2n})(x^{3n} - y^{3n})}{(x^n - y^n)(x^2 - y^2)(x^3 - y^3)} \\ &= \frac{(x^n + y^n)(x^{3n} - y^{3n})}{(x + y)(x^3 - y^3)} \\ &= c(x, y)((x^4)^n + (x^3y)^n - (xy^3)^n - (y^4)^n), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where

$$c(x, y) = \frac{1}{(x + y)(x^3 - y^3)}.$$

It follows from (7) that the ogf

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{A(n)}{A(1)} z^n,$$

of the normalized sequence $A(n)/A(1)$ is a sum of four geometric series, and so will be a rational function of the form $zN(z)/D(z)$ for polynomials $N(z)$ and $D(z)$. A short calculation yields

$$N(z) = 1 - 2xy(x^2 - xy + y^2) + (xy)^4 z^2$$

$$D(z) = (1 - x^4 z)(1 - x^3 y z)(1 - xy^3 z)(1 - y^4 z).$$

From the form of the denominator polynomial $D(z)$ we see that the normalized sequence $A(n)/A(1)$, and hence also the sequence $A(n)$, satisfies a linear recurrence of order 4 ($= 2 \min(p, q)$), whose coefficients are polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$.

4. Integer divisibility sequences

Clearly, we can obtain integer linear divisibility sequences from the polynomials $A(n, x, y)$ in (5) and $B(n, x, y)$ in (6) simply by specializing x and y to be distinct integers. In fact, we can relax the requirement that x and y be integers and still get integer sequences. This is because of the symmetries satisfied by the polynomials $A(n, x, y)$ and $B(n, x, y)$. Recall the following simple consequences of the fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials:

Any symmetric polynomial $P(x, y)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ can be expressed as a polynomial with integer coefficients in the elementary symmetric polynomials $x + y$ and xy . If the symmetric polynomial $P(x, y)$ is also invariant under change of sign of both variables x and y , that is, $P(x, y) = P(-x, -y)$, then $P(x, y)$ can be expressed as a polynomial with integer coefficients in the elementary symmetric polynomials $(x + y)^2$ and xy .

A) Firstly, we consider integer divisibility sequences obtained by specializing the polynomials $B(n, x, y)$. Observe from definition (2) that for each n , the polynomial $L(n, x, y)$ is a symmetric polynomial that is also invariant under change of sign of the variables x and y :

$$L(n, x, y) = L(n, y, x) = L(n, -x - y).$$

Clearly, the same symmetries also hold for the polynomials $B(n, x, y)$ defined by (6) and also for the polynomials $B(nm, x, y)/B(n, x, y)$ for all natural numbers n, m . Therefore, by the above remark, these polynomials can be written as a polynomials with integer coefficients in the symmetric functions $(x + y)^2$ and xy . Thus in order to specialize $B(n, x, y)$ to produce an integer divisibility sequence it suffices to choose values for x and y so that both $(x + y)^2$ and xy are integers.

To this end, let P and Q be nonzero integers and define complex numbers α and β by

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha + \beta)^2 &= P \\ \alpha\beta &= Q \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

so that α and β are the roots of the quadratic equation $x^2 - \sqrt{P}x + Q = 0$:

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{P} + \sqrt{P - 4Q}}{2}, \quad \beta = \frac{\sqrt{P} - \sqrt{P - 4Q}}{2}.$$

We also assume that α/β is not equal to a root of unity. Then we conclude that

$$B(n, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{L(pn, \alpha, \beta)L(qn, \alpha, \beta)}{L(n, \alpha, \beta)}$$

is a well-defined linear divisibility sequence of integers of order $4 \min(p, q)$. The particular case $p = q = 1$ gives the Lehmer sequence (or Lehmer numbers) $L(n, \alpha, \beta)$ [1, 5].

B) It follows from Proposition 3.2 that the sequence of functions $A(n, x, y)/A(1, x, y)$, $n \geq 1$, is a divisibility sequence of polynomials in the domain $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$. By specializing the values of x and y integer divisibility sequences can be obtained. There are two cases to consider according as to whether $p + q$ is odd or $p + q$ is even.

Case (i) Suppose first that $p + q$ is odd.

Observe that in this case the polynomial $A(n, x, y)$ given by (5), in addition to being symmetric in x and y , changes sign under change of sign of the variables x and y since

$$A(n, -x, -y) = (-1)^{n(p+q-1)-1} A(n, x, y) = -A(n, x, y).$$

It follows that the polynomial $A(n, x, y)/A(1, x, y)$ is symmetric in the variables x and y and also invariant under change of sign of the variables x and y . Therefore, by the above remark on symmetric polynomials, $A(n, x, y)/A(1, x, y)$ can be written as a polynomial with integer coefficients in the symmetric polynomials $(x + y)^2$ and xy . Thus $A(n, x, y)/A(1, x, y)$ will be an integer divisibility sequence if x and y are chosen so that both $(x + y)^2$ and xy are integers. Accordingly, let P and Q be nonzero integers and define complex numbers α and β by (8). We again require that α/β is not equal to a root of unity. Then

$$\frac{A(n, \alpha, \beta)}{A(1, \alpha, \beta)} = \frac{(\alpha - \beta)(\alpha^{pn} - \beta^{pn})(\alpha^{qn} - \beta^{qn})}{(\alpha^n - \beta^n)(\alpha^p - \beta^p)(\alpha^q - \beta^q)}$$

is a well-defined integer linear divisibility sequence (of order $2 \min(p, q)$).

Example 4.1 Let $p = 3$ and $q = 4$; take $P = 5$ and $Q = 1$.

The roots α, β of the quadratic equation $x^2 - \sqrt{5}x + 1 = 0$ are given by

$$\alpha = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}, \quad \beta = \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2}.$$

Thus the normalized sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A(n, \alpha, \beta)}{A(1, \alpha, \beta)} &= \frac{(\alpha - \beta)(\alpha^{3n} - \beta^{3n})(\alpha^{4n} - \beta^{4n})}{(\alpha^n - \beta^n)(\alpha^3 - \beta^3)(\alpha^4 - \beta^4)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha^{6n} + \alpha^{4n} + \alpha^{2n} - \beta^{6n} - \beta^{4n} - \beta^{2n}}{12\sqrt{5}}. \end{aligned}$$

The sequence begins [1, 14, 228, 3948, 69905, 1248072, 22352707, 400808856, ...]. See A273625. The sequence satisfies a linear recurrence of order $2 \min\{p, q\} = 6$, as shown by calculating the ogf:

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{A(n, \alpha, \beta)}{A(1, \alpha, \beta)} z^n = \frac{z(1 - 14z + 40z - 14z^3 + z^4)}{(1 - 3z + z^2)(1 - 7z + z^2)(1 - 18z + z^2)}. \quad \square$$

Case (ii) Suppose now that $p + q$ is even.

In this case, the polynomial $A(n, x, y)$ given by (5) is symmetric in x and y (but not invariant under change of sign of the variables) and so can be written as polynomial with integer coefficients in the elementary symmetric functions $x + y$ and xy . Thus in order for the divisibility sequence of polynomials $A(n, x, y)$ to specialize to an integer divisibility sequence it suffices to choose values for x and y so that both $x + y$ and xy are integers. Accordingly, let P and Q be nonzero integers and now define complex numbers α and β by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha + \beta &= P \\ \alpha\beta &= Q \end{aligned}$$

so that α and β are the roots of the quadratic equation $x^2 - Px + Q = 0$, that is,

$$\alpha = \frac{P + \sqrt{P^2 - 4Q}}{2}, \quad \beta = \frac{P - \sqrt{P^2 - 4Q}}{2}.$$

We also require that α/β is not equal to a root of unity. Then for each n

$$A(n, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{(\alpha^{pn} - \beta^{pn})(\alpha^{qn} - \beta^{qn})}{(\alpha^n - \beta^n)(\alpha - \beta)}$$

is a well-defined integer and forms the terms of an integer divisibility sequence. In the particular case $p = q = 1$, the sequence $A(n, \alpha, \beta)$ becomes the Lucas sequence of the first kind $(\alpha^n - \beta^n)/(\alpha - \beta)$ - see [6].

Example 4.2 Let $p = 3$ and $q = 5$; take $P = 1$ and $Q = -1$.

The roots α, β of the quadratic equation $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$ are given by

$$\alpha = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}, \quad \beta = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

The normalized sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A(n, \alpha, \beta)}{A(1, \alpha, \beta)} &= \frac{(\alpha - \beta)(\alpha^{3n} - \beta^{3n})(\alpha^{5n} - \beta^{5n})}{(\alpha^n - \beta^n)(\alpha^3 - \beta^3)(\alpha^5 - \beta^5)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha^{7n} + (-\alpha)^{5n} + \alpha^{3n} - \beta^{7n} - (-\beta)^{5n} - \beta^{3n}}{10\sqrt{5}} \end{aligned}$$

begins [1, 44, 1037, 32472, 915305, 26874892, 776952553, 22595381424, ...] (see A238601). The sequence satisfies a linear recurrence of order $2 \min\{p, q\} = 6$, as shown by calculating the ogf

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{A(n, \alpha, \beta)}{A(1, \alpha, \beta)} z^n = \frac{z(1 + 22z - 181z^2 - 22z^3 + z^4)}{(1 - 4z - z^2)(1 + 11z - z^2)(1 - 29z - z^2)}.$$

Appendix

We give a proof of Proposition 2.2 following Norfleet [3, Theorem 3].

Proposition 2.2 *Let D be a GCD domain. Let a and b be relatively prime elements in $D - \{0\}$, that is, $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. The sequence $S(n)$ defined by the second-order linear recurrence*

$$S(n + 1) = aS(n) + bS(n - 1), \quad [S(0) = 1, S(1) = 1]$$

is a strong divisibility sequence, that is,

$$\gcd(S(n), S(m)) = S(\gcd(n, m)) \tag{9}$$

for all natural numbers n and m .

We shall make use of the following simple properties of the gcd function in the domain D:

$$\text{if } \gcd(a, b) = 1 \text{ then } \gcd(a, bc) = \gcd(a, c) \quad (10)$$

$$\text{if } a = cb + r \text{ then } \gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, r). \quad (11)$$

We will need two preliminary results about the sequence $S(n)$.

Proposition A2 For $n \geq 1$ we have

$$(i) \quad \gcd(S(n), b) = 1 \quad (12)$$

$$(ii) \quad \gcd(S(n+1), S(n)) = 1. \quad (13)$$

Proof

(i) By induction. Clearly, $\gcd(S(1), b) = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(S(n+1), b) &= \gcd(aS(n) + bS(n-1), b) \\ &= \gcd(aS(n), b) \quad \text{by (11)} \\ &= \gcd(S(n), b) \quad \text{by (10)} \end{aligned}$$

and the induction goes through.

(ii) By induction. Clearly, $\gcd(S(2), S(1)) = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(S(n+1), S(n)) &= \gcd(aS(n) + bS(n-1), S(n)) \\ &= \gcd(bS(n-1), S(n)) \quad \text{by (11)} \\ &= \gcd(S(n-1), S(n)) \quad \text{by (10) and part (i),} \end{aligned}$$

and the induction goes through. \square

Proposition A3 For $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ we have

$$S(n+k) = S(k+1)S(n) + bS(k)S(n-1). \quad (14)$$

Proof We use strong induction on k . The case $k = 1$ is simply the defining recurrence equation for the sequence $S(n)$. Assume (14) holds true up to k then

$$\begin{aligned} S(n+k+1) &= aS(n+k) + bS(n+k-1) \\ &= a(S(k+1)S(n) + bS(k)S(n-1)) + b(S(k)S(n) + bS(k-1)S(n-1)) \\ &= S(k+2)S(n) + bS(k+1)S(n-1) \end{aligned}$$

and the induction goes through. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.2

We need to establish the strong divisibility property

$$\gcd(S(n), S(m)) = S(\gcd(n, m)) \quad (15)$$

for all natural numbers n, m . We can assume without loss of generality that $n \geq m$. Let $k = n - m$. We begin by establishing the result

$$\gcd(S(n), S(m)) = \gcd(S(n - m), S(m)). \quad (16)$$

This holds because

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(S(n), S(m)) &= \gcd(S(m + k), S(m)) \\ &= \gcd(S(k + 1)S(m) + bS(k)S(m - 1), S(m)) \quad \text{by (14)} \\ &= \gcd(bS(k)S(m - 1), S(m)) \quad \text{by (11)} \\ &= \gcd(S(k)S(m - 1), S(m)) \quad \text{by (10) and (12)} \\ &= \gcd(S(k), S(m)) \quad \text{by (10) and (13)} \\ &= \gcd(S(n - m), S(m)). \end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to prove (15) by means of a strong induction argument on $n + m$. Clearly, (15) is true for the base case $n = m = 1$. We make the inductive hypothesis that (15) is true for all n, m with $n + m \leq N$. Then if $n + m = N + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(S(n), S(m)) &= \gcd(S(n - m), S(m)) \quad \text{by (16)} \\ &= S(\gcd(n - m, m)) \quad \text{by the inductive hypothesis} \\ &= S(\gcd(n, m)) \end{aligned}$$

and hence the induction goes through. \square

Example A1 Define a sequence $U(n)_{n \geq 1}$ in the ring of Gaussian integers $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ by the recurrence $U(n) = (1 + i)U(n - 1) + U(n - 2)$ with $U(0) = 0$ and $U(1) = 1$. By Proposition 2.2 this will be a strong divisibility sequence in the GCD domain $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

The sequence begins $[1, 1 + i, 1 + 2i, 4i, -3 + 6i, -9 + 7i, -19 + 4i, -32 - 8i, \dots]$. It is not difficult to check that the sequence $|U(n)|^2$ beginning $[1, 2, 5, 16, 45, 130, 377, 1088, \dots]$ is a divisibility sequence of integers obeying a fourth-order linear recurrence. It is A138573 in the database.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. H. Lehmer, An extended theory of Lucas' Functions, *Annals of Mathematics Second Series*, Vol. 31, No. 3 (July 1930), 419-448.
- [2] E. Lucas, *Théorie des Fonctions Numériques Simplement Périodiques*.
- [3] M. Norfleet, Characterization of second-order strong divisibility sequences of polynomials, *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, Vol. 43, No. 2 (May 2005), 166-169.
- [4] C. Woo, An integral domain is lcm iff it is gcd.
- [5] Wikipedia, [Lehmer sequence](#).
- [6] Wikipedia, [Lucas sequence](#).