Maple-assisted proof of formula for A209646

Robert Israel

7 March 2018

Of the $2^4 = 16$ possible configurations for a 1 × 4 sub-array, the following 9 avoid 0 0 1 and 1 0 0 horizontally.

```
> Rowconfigs:= select(A -> (A[1..3] <> [0,0,1]) and (A[1..3] <> [1,
0,0]) and (A[2..4] <> [0,0,1]) and (A[2..4] <> [1,0,0]), [seq
(convert(x,base,2)[1..4],x=2^4..2^5-1)]);
Rowconfigs := [[0,0,0,0], [1,0,1,0], [0,1,1,0], [1,1,0], [0,1,0,1], [1,1,0,1], [1,
0,1,1], [0,1,1,1], [1,1,1]]
```

Consider the $9^2 \times 9^2$ transition matrix *T* such that $T_{ij} = 1$ if the bottom two rows of a 3 × 4 sub-array could be in configuration *i* while the top two rows are in configuration *j* (i.e. the middle row is compatible with both *i* and *j*), and 0 otherwise. The following Maple code computes it.

```
\sum \operatorname{Configs:=} [\operatorname{seq}(\operatorname{seq}([\operatorname{Rowconfigs}[i], \operatorname{Rowconfigs}[j]], i=1..9), j=1..9)] \\ : \\ \operatorname{compat:=} \operatorname{proc}(i,j) \operatorname{local} k, \operatorname{col}; \\ \text{ if Configs}[i][2] <> \operatorname{Configs}[j][1] \operatorname{then} \operatorname{return} 0 \operatorname{fi}; \\ \text{ for } k \operatorname{from} 1 \operatorname{to} 4 \operatorname{do} \\ \operatorname{col:=} [\operatorname{Configs}[i][1][k], \operatorname{Configs}[i][2][k], \operatorname{Configs}[j][2][k]]; \\ \text{ if } \operatorname{col} = [0,0,1] \operatorname{or} \operatorname{col} = [1,0,1] \operatorname{then} \operatorname{return} 0 \operatorname{fi}; \\ \operatorname{od}; \\ 1 \\ \operatorname{end} \operatorname{proc:} \\ \operatorname{T:=} \operatorname{Matrix}(9^2, 9^2, \operatorname{compat}); \\ T := \begin{bmatrix} 8I \times 8I \operatorname{Matrix} \\ \operatorname{Data} \operatorname{Type:} \operatorname{anything} \\ \operatorname{Storage:} \operatorname{rectangular} \\ \operatorname{Order:} \operatorname{Fortran\_order} \end{bmatrix} 
(2)
```

Thus for $n \ge 2$, $a(n) = u T^{n-2} v$ where u and v are 9²-dimensional row and column vectors respectively of all 1's. The following Maple code produces these vectors.

$$\begin{array}{l} > u := Vector[row] (9^{2}, 1): \\ v := Vector (9^{2}, 1): \\ To check, here are the first few entries of our sequence. \\ \hline seq(u . T^{(n-2)} . v, n=2..12); \\ 81, 270, 630, 1215, 2079, 3276, 4860, 6885, 9405, 12474, 16146 \\ \hline (3) \\ Now here is the minimal polynomial P of T, as computed by Maple. \\ \hline P := unapply(LinearAlgebra: -MinimalPolynomial(T, t), t); \\ P := t \mapsto t^{5} - 4t^{4} + 6t^{3} - 4t^{2} + t \\ \hline (4) \end{array}$$

This turns out to have degree 5 but no constant term. Thus we will have

 $0 = u P(T) T^n v = \sum_{i=1}^{5} p_i a(i+n+2)$ for $n \ge 0$, where p_i is the coefficient of t^i in P(t). This is a homogeneous linear recurrence of order 4.

rec := a(3+n) - 4 a(4+n) + 6 a(5+n) - 4 a(6+n) + a(7+n) = 0(5)

The "empirical" formula $a(n) = 9n^3 + \frac{9}{2} \cdot n^2 - \frac{9}{2}n$ does satisfy this recursion:

> normal(eval(rec, a =
$$(n \rightarrow 9*n^3 + 9/2*n^2 - 9/2*n))$$
);
0 = 0 (6)

Given that the formula is correct for the first four terms, it must also work for all the rest as well.