

Maple-assisted proof of formula for A208554

Robert Israel

27 Sep 2018

There are $2^{14} = 16384$ possible configurations for a 2×7 sub-array, but not all can arise as we need to avoid 0 0 0 and 0 0 1 horizontally.

We encode these configurations as lists in the order $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & x_6 & x_7 \\ x_8 & x_9 & x_{10} & x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & x_{14} \end{bmatrix}$. The possible

rows are as follows.

```
> Rowconfigs:= select(A -> andmap(t -> A[t..t+2] <> [0,0,0] and A
[t..t+2] <> [0,0,1], [$1..5]), [seq(convert(x,base,2)[1..7],x=
2^7..2^8-1)]);
Rowconfigs := [[1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0], [0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0], [1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0], [0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0],
[1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0], [1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0], [0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0], [1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0], [0, 1, 0, 1,
0, 1, 0], [1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0], [1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0], [0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0], [1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0], [1,
0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0], [0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0], [1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0], [0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0], [1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1,
0], [1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0], [0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0], [1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0], [1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1], [0, 1, 1,
0, 1, 0, 1], [1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1], [0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1], [1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1], [1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1],
[0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1], [1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1], [0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1], [1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1], [1, 0, 1, 1,
0, 1, 1], [0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1], [1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1], [1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1], [0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1], [1,
1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1], [0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1], [1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1], [1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1], [0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
1], [1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1]]
```

So here are the possible configurations.

```
> Configs:= [seq(seq([op(s),op(t)],t=Rowconfigs),s=Rowconfigs)]:
nops(Configs);
1764
```

There are 1764 allowed configurations.

Consider the 1764×1764 transition matrix T such that $T_{ij} = 1$ if the top two rows of a 3×7 sub-array could be in configuration i while the bottom two rows are in configuration j (i.e. the middle row is compatible with both i and j), and 0 otherwise. The following Maple code computes it.

```
> compat:= proc(i,j) local k,col;
if Configs[i][8..14] <> Configs[j][1..7] then return 0 fi;
for k from 1 to 7 do
col:= [Configs[i][k],Configs[i][k+7],Configs[j][k+7]];
if col = [0,0,1] or col = [0,1,1] then return 0 fi;
od;
1
end proc;
T:= Matrix(1764,1764,compat);
```

(3)

$$T := \begin{bmatrix} 1764 \times 1764 \text{ Matrix} \\ \text{Data Type: anything} \\ \text{Storage: rectangular} \\ \text{Order: Fortran_order} \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

Thus for $n \geq 2$, $a(n) = u^T T^{n-2} u$ where u is a 1764-dimensional column vector of all 1's.

```
[> u := Vector[column](1764, 1):
```

To check, here are the first few entries of our sequence for $n \geq 2$. We first recursively compute $T^n u$ for various n .

```
[> Tnu[0] := u;
  for n from 1 to 24 do Tnu[n] := T . Tnu[n-1] od:
```

```
[> seq(u^%T . Tnu[n], n=1..24);
10710, 65025, 221340, 753424, 1913940, 4862025, 10332630, 21958596, 41363322,
  77915929, 134523480, 232257600, 375406920, 606784689, 931373730, 1429596100,
  2104920510, 3099260241, 4409811252, 6274540944, 8675694300, 11995725625,
  16187575950, 21844248804] (4)
```

Here is the empirical formula. It says that $u^T T^n Q(T) u = 0$ for all nonnegative integers n , where Q is the following polynomial.

```
[> n := 'n': empirical := a(n) = 2*a(n-1) + 6*a(n-2) - 14*a(n-3) - 14*a
(n-4) + 42*a(n-5) + 14*a(n-6) - 70*a(n-7) + 70*a(n-9) - 14*a(n-10)
- 42*a(n-11) + 14*a(n-12) + 14*a(n-13) - 6*a(n-14) - 2*a(n-15) + a
(n-16);
Q := unapply(add(coeff((lhs-rhs)(empirical), a(n-i)) * t^(16-i), i=0..
.16), t);
empirical := a(n) = 2 a(n - 1) + 6 a(n - 2) - 14 a(n - 3) - 14 a(n - 4) + 42 a(n - 5)
+ 14 a(n - 6) - 70 a(n - 7) + 70 a(n - 9) - 14 a(n - 10) - 42 a(n - 11) + 14 a(n
- 12) + 14 a(n - 13) - 6 a(n - 14) - 2 a(n - 15) + a(n - 16)
Q := t ↦ t16 - 2 t15 - 6 t14 + 14 t13 + 14 t12 - 42 t11 - 14 t10 + 70 t9 - 70 t7 + 14 t6 + 42 t5
- 14 t4 - 14 t3 + 6 t2 + 2 t - 1] (5)
```

It turns out that $Q(T) u = 0$. We check that this is the case.

```
[> Qu := add(coeff(Q(t), t, j) * Tnu[j], j=0..16):
> Qu^%T . Qu;
```

0 (6)

This completes the proof.