

# Maple-assisted proof of formula for A207724

Robert Israel

5 March 2018

Of the  $2^6 = 64$  possible configurations for a  $2 \times 3$  sub-array, 36 avoid 0 0 0 and 0 1 0 horizontally. Consider the  $36 \times 36$  transition matrix  $T$  such that  $T_{ij} = 1$  if the bottom two rows of a  $3 \times 3$  sub-array could be in configuration  $i$  while the top two rows are in configuration  $j$  (i.e. the middle row is compatible with both  $i$  and  $j$ ), and 0 otherwise. The following Maple code computes it.

```
> Configs:= select(A -> A[1..3] <> [0,0,0] and A[4..6] <> [0,0,0]
and A[1..3] <> [0,1,0] and A[4..6] <> [0,1,0],
[seq(convert(x,base,2)[1..6],x=2^6..2^7-1)]: nops(Configs);
36
```

(1)

```
> compat:= proc(i,j) local k,col;
if Configs[i][4..6] <> Configs[j][1..3] then return 0 fi;
for k from 1 to 3 do
col:= [Configs[i][k],Configs[i][k+3],Configs[j][k+3]];
if col = [0,1,1] or col = [1,0,1] then return 0 fi;
od;
1
end proc;
T:= Matrix(36,36,compat);
```

$T :=$ 

<i>36 x 36 Matrix</i>
<i>Data Type: anything</i>
<i>Storage: rectangular</i>
<i>Order: Fortran_order</i>

(2)

Thus for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $a(n) = u T^{n-2} v$  where  $u$  and  $v$  are 36-dimensional row and column vectors respectively of all 1's. The following Maple code produces these vectors.

```
> u:= Vector[row](36, 1):
v:= Vector(36,1):
```

To check, here are the first few entries of our sequence.

```
> seq(u . T^(n-2) . v, n=2..12);
36, 78, 189, 490, 1113, 2449, 5474, 12036, 26100, 56595, 122472
```

(3)

Now here is the minimal polynomial  $P$  of  $T$ , as computed by Maple.

```
> P:= unapply(LinearAlgebra:-MinimalPolynomial(T, t), t);
P := t ↦ t11 - 3 t10 + 2 t9 - 3 t8 + 6 t7 - 3 t4 - t3 + t
```

(4)

This turns out to have degree 11 but no constant term. Thus we will have

$0 = u P(T) T^n v = \sum_{i=1}^{11} p_i a(i+n+2)$  for  $n \geq 0$ , where  $p_i$  is the coefficient of  $t^i$  in  $P(t)$ . This is a

homogeneous linear recurrence of order 10.

```
> rec:= add(coeff(P(t), t, i)*a(i+n+2), i=1..11)=0;
rec := a(3+n) - a(5+n) - 3 a(6+n) + 6 a(9+n) - 3 a(10+n) + 2 a(11+n)
- 3 a(12+n) + a(13+n) = 0
```

(5)

The "empirical" formula is a shifted version of this. It is easily verified that the formula above is also valid for  $n = -2$  and  $n = -1$ .