

Proofs of some formulae on the number of k -reverses of n using some formulae by A. Howroyd

Petros Hadjicostas

October 2017

In this note, we prove two formulae about $R(n, k)$, the number of k -reverses of n (where $1 \leq k \leq n$). These numbers appear in sequence [A180171](#) in the OEIS. As noted in the comments for the sequence, the “reverse of a k -composition is the k -composition obtained by writing its parts in reverse.” In addition, a “ k -reverse of n is a k -composition of n which is cyclically equivalent to its reverse.”

The equivalence classes created by cyclically equivalent k -reverses of n are called “Sommerville symmetrical cyclic compositions” because they were studied by Sommerville (1909, pp. 301–304). The number $T(n, k)$ of Sommerville symmetrical cyclic compositions of n with k parts (or equivalently, the number of equivalence classes of cyclically equivalent k -compositions of n) appear in sequence [A119963](#) (for $1 \leq k \leq n$ by ignoring the numbers $T(n, 0)$).

Sommerville (1909) proved that, for $0 \leq k \leq n$ (with the exception of the case $T(0, 0)$),

$$T(2n, 2k) = T(2n + 1, 2k) = T(2n + 1, 2k + 1) = T(2n + 2, 2k + 1) = \binom{n}{k}.$$

More than a century later, these formulae were re-discovered (in a slightly different context) by McSorley and Shoen (2013).

Let A is a set of positive integers and, for $1 \leq k \leq n$, let $T_A(n, k)$ be the total number of Sommerville symmetrical cyclic compositions of n with length k and parts only in A (that is, the number of equivalence classes of cyclically equivalent k -reverses of n with parts only in A). Hadjicostas and Zhang (2017) proved that the g.f. of $T(n, k)$ is

$$\sum_{n, k \geq 1} T_A(n, k) x^n y^k = \frac{(1 + y f_A(x))^2}{2(1 - y^2 f_A(x^2))} - \frac{1}{2}, \tag{1}$$

where $f_A(x) = \sum_{m \in A} x^m$. For sequence [A119963](#), $A =$ all positive integers $= \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, in which case, $T_A(n, k) = T(n, k)$ and equation (1) becomes

$$\sum_{n, k \geq 1} T(n, k) x^n y^k = \frac{(1 + xy - x^2)xy}{(1 - x)(1 - x^2 - x^2 y^2)}. \tag{2}$$

Let $\text{AR}(n, k)$ be the number of aperiodic k -reverses of n . These numbers appear in sequence [A180279](#). According to the documentation of the sequence, a “ k -composition is aperiodic (primitive) if its period is k , or if it is not the concatenation of at least two smaller [equal] compositions.”

In October 2017, A. Howroyd provided the following two formulae in the documentation of sequences [A180171](#) and [A180279](#):

$$R(n, k) = \sum_{d|\text{gcd}(n,k)} \text{AR}\left(\frac{n}{d}, \frac{k}{d}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{AR}(n, k) = k \sum_{d|\text{gcd}(n,k)} \mu(d) T\left(\frac{n}{d}, \frac{k}{d}\right), \quad (3)$$

valid for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Here, $\mu(d)$ is the Möbius function at positive integer d , given by sequence [A008683](#).

Based on the two formulae above, we shall prove the following two formulae:

$$R(n, k) = \sum_{d|\text{gcd}(n,k)} \phi^{(-1)}(d) \frac{k}{d} T\left(\frac{n}{d}, \frac{k}{d}\right) \quad (4)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R(n, k) x^n y^k = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \phi^{(-1)}(s) g(x^s, y^s), \quad (5)$$

where $\phi^{(-1)}(s)$ is the Dirichlet inverse of the Euler totient function at positive integer n , given by sequence [A023900](#), and

$$g(x, y) = \frac{(xy + x + 1)(xy - x + 1)(x + 1)xy}{(x^2y^2 + x^2 - 1)^2}. \quad (6)$$

Proof. In Howroyd’s equations (3), let $a = \text{gcd}(n, k)$, $n^* = n/a$, and $k^* = k/a$. We then get:

$$R(n^*a, k^*a) = \sum_{d|a} \text{AR}\left(\frac{n^*a}{d}, \frac{k^*a}{d}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{AR}(n^*a, k^*a) = k^*a \sum_{d|a} \mu(d) T\left(\frac{n^*a}{d}, \frac{k^*a}{d}\right). \quad (7)$$

From the first equation in (7), we get $R(n^*a, k^*a) = \sum_{d|a} \text{AR}(n^*d, k^*d)$. Using the last equation and the second equation in (7), we get

$$R(n^*a, k^*a) = \sum_{d|a} k^*d \sum_{m|d} \mu(m) T\left(\frac{n^*d}{m}, \frac{k^*d}{m}\right) = k^*a \sum_{d|a} \frac{d}{a} \sum_{m|d} \mu(m) T\left(\frac{n^*d}{m}, \frac{k^*d}{m}\right).$$

Using the associativity of Dirichlet convolutions, we get

$$R(n^*a, k^*a) = k^*a \sum_{d|a} \left(\sum_{m|d} \frac{\mu(m)}{d/m} \right) T\left(\frac{n^*a}{d}, \frac{k^*a}{d}\right) = k^*a \sum_{d|a} \frac{1}{d} \left(\sum_{m|d} m\mu(m) \right) T\left(\frac{n^*a}{d}, \frac{k^*a}{d}\right).$$

From the documentation of sequence [A023900](#) we know that $\sum_{m|d} m\mu(m) = \phi^{(-1)}(d)$, and hence

$$R(n^*a, k^*a) = k^*a \sum_{d|a} \frac{\phi^{(-1)}(d)}{d} T\left(\frac{n^*a}{d}, \frac{k^*a}{d}\right),$$

from which we can easily prove equation (4).

To prove equation (5), we use equation (4):

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R(n, k) x^n y^k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d|\gcd(n,k)} \phi^{(-1)}(d) \frac{k}{d} T\left(\frac{n}{d}, \frac{k}{d}\right) x^n y^k.$$

Letting $m = n/d$ and $\ell = k/d$, we then obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R(n, k) x^n y^k = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \phi^{(-1)}(d) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell T(m, \ell) (x^d)^m (y^d)^\ell. \quad (8)$$

Equation (2) implies

$$\sum_{n,k \geq 1} T(n, k) k x^n y^{k-1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{(1 + xy - x^2)xy}{(1-x)(1-x^2-x^2y^2)} \right) = \frac{g(x, y)}{y}, \quad (9)$$

where $g(x, y)$ is defined by equation (6). Equation (5) then follows from equations (8) and (9). \square

References

- [1] P. Hadjicostas and L. Zhang (2017), ‘‘Sommerville’s symmetrical cyclic compositions of a positive integer with parts avoiding multiples of an integer,’’ *Fibonacci Quart.*, **55**, 54–73.
- [2] J. P. McSorley and A. H. Shoen (2013), ‘‘Rhombic tilings of (n, k) -ovals, (n, k, λ) -cyclic difference sets, and related topics,’’ *Discrete Math.*, **313**, 129–154.
- [3] D. M. Y. Sommerville (1909), ‘‘On Certain Periodic Properties of Cyclic Compositions of Numbers,’’ *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **S2–7**, 263–313.