

Notes for A108227

For a finite **multiset** B of positive integers, define $\mathcal{P}(B) = \prod_{x \in B} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)$. Note that for primes p , we have $\sigma_{-1}(p^e) = \frac{\sigma(p^e)}{p^e} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)\left(1 + \frac{1}{p^2 + p}\right)\left(1 + \frac{1}{p^3 + p^2 + p}\right) \cdots \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^e + p^{e-1} + \cdots + p}\right) = \mathcal{P}(\{p^j + p^{j-1} + \cdots + p : j = 1, 2, \dots, e\})$. As a result, for $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r}$, we have $\sigma_{-1}(n) = \sigma_{-1}(p_1^{e_1}) \sigma_{-1}(p_2^{e_2}) \cdots \sigma_{-1}(p_r^{e_r}) = \mathcal{P}(\{p_i^j + p_i^{j-1} + \cdots + p_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, r, j = 1, 2, \dots, e_i\})$.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let $p(k)$ be the k -th prime. Define the multiset $B_k = \{p^j + p^{j-1} + \cdots + p : p \text{ prime} \geq p(k)\}$, then sort B_k into $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq x_3 \leq \cdots$ ¹

Proposition. Write $x_i = q_i^{j_i} + q_i^{j_i-1} + \cdots + q_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$ (in case of multiple representations, choose an arbitrary one, but a representation cannot appear more than once), then $n := \prod_{i=1}^N q_i$ has $\sigma_{-1}(n) = \mathcal{P}(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\})$.

Proof. Let $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r}$ be the canonical factorization, then $q_i = p_1, \dots, p_r$ for respectively e_1, \dots, e_r times. Since $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq x_3 \leq x_N$ are the smallest N numbers in B_k and no two numbers are allowed to have the same representation, we have exactly

$$\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\} = \{p_i^j + p_i^{j-1} + \cdots + p_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, r, j = 1, 2, \dots, e_i\}.$$

□

Let N_k be the first number such that $\mathcal{P}(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N_k}\}) > 2$. Then the proposition above gives us automatically a $p(k)$ -rough abundant number. We have moreover

Theorem. Every $p(k)$ -rough abundant number has at least N_k prime factors counted with multiplicity.

Proof. Suppose that a $p(k)$ -rough abundant number n has canonical factorization $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r}$, then

$$\mathcal{P}(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{e_1+e_2+\dots+e_r}\}) \geq \mathcal{P}(\{p_i^j + p_i^{j-1} + \cdots + p_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, r, j = 1, 2, \dots, e_i\}) = \sigma_{-1}(n) > 2,$$

since each $p_i^j + p_i^{j-1} + \cdots + p_i \in B_k$ and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{e_1+e_2+\dots+e_r}$ are the smallest elements in B_k . Hence $\Omega(n) = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_r \geq N_k$. □

Theorem. For $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, each $x_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, N_k)$ is of the form p or $p^2 + p$.

¹Here *à priori* a number in B_k can have multiple representations, and each number should appear as many times as its number of representations, for example

$$30 = 2^4 + 2^3 + 2^2 + 2 + 1 = 5^2 + 5.$$

But in fact the **Goormaghtigh conjecture** says that 30 is the only number to have more than 1 representations.

Proof. It suffices to show that $p^3(k) + p^2(k) + p(k) > x_{N_k}$. Suppose otherwise that $p^3(k) + p^2(k) + p(k) \leq x_{N_k}$, then by definition, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N_k-1}$ must include all primes in the range $[p(k), p^3(k)]$.

We need the following lemma:

Lemma ([1], p.26, Theorem 14). For $x \geq 10372$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{p \text{ prime}, p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} - \ln \ln x - M \right| \leq \frac{1}{10 \ln^2 x} + \frac{4}{15 \ln^3 x},$$

where M is the Meissel-Mertens constant.

If $k \geq 1273$, then $p(k) \geq 10391 > 10372$. Write $x_i = p_i^{j_i} + p_i^{j_i-1} + \dots + p_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N_k$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \sigma_{-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N_k-1} p_i \right) &\geq \sum_{q \text{ prime}, p(k) < q \leq p^3(k)} \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{q} \right) \\ &> 0.99995 \times \sum_{q \text{ prime}, p(k) < q \leq p^3(k)} \frac{1}{q} \\ &> 0.99995 \times \left(\ln \ln p^3(k) - M - \frac{1}{10 \ln^2 p^3(k+1)} - \frac{4}{15 \ln^3 p^3(k)} - \ln \ln p(k+1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + M - \frac{1}{10 \ln^2 p(k)} - \frac{4}{15 \ln^3 p(k)} \right) \\ &= 0.99995 \times \left(\log 3 - \frac{1}{10 \ln^2 p^3(k)} - \frac{4}{15 \ln^3 p^3(k)} - \frac{1}{10 \ln^2 p(k)} - \frac{4}{15 \ln^3 p(k)} \right) \\ &> 1.09690. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\prod_{i=1}^{N_k-1} p_i$ is a $p(k)$ -rough abundant number with only $N_k - 1$ prime factors counted with multiplicity, a contradiction!

The case $1 \leq k \leq 1272$ is proved by computing x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N_k} directly. □

k	N_k	$\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N_k}\}$	Example of $p(k)$ -rough abundant number with N_k prime factors counted with multiplicity
1	3	$\{2, 3, 5\}$	$2 \times 3 \times 5$
2	5	$\{3, 5, 7, 11, 12\}$	$3^2 \times 5 \times 7 \times 11$
3	9	$\{5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 30\}$	$5^2 \times 7 \times 11 \times \dots \times 29$
4	18	$\{7, 11, 13, \dots, 71, 56\}$	$5^2 \times 7 \times 11 \times \dots \times 29$
5	31	$\{11, 13, 17, \dots, 139, 132\}$	$11^2 \times 13 \times 17 \times \dots \times 139$
6	46	$\{13, 17, 19, \dots, 229, 182\}$	$13^2 \times 17 \times 19 \times \dots \times 229$
7	67	$\{17, 19, 23, \dots, 359, 306\}$	$17^2 \times 19 \times 23 \times \dots \times 359$
8	91	$\{19, 23, 29, \dots, 509, 380\}$	$19^2 \times 23 \times 29 \times \dots \times 509$
13	284	$\{41, 43, 47, \dots, 1931, 1722, 1892\}$	$41^2 \times 43^2 \times 47 \times 53 \times \dots \times 1931$
14	334	$\{43, 47, 53, \dots, 2333, 1892, 2256\}$	$43^2 \times 47^2 \times 53 \times 59 \times \dots \times 2333$
27	1469	$\{103, 107, 109, \dots, 12497, 10712, 11556, 11990\}$	$103^2 \times 107^2 \times 109^2 \times 113 \times 127 \times \dots \times 12497$

Table. A demonstration for $k = 1, \dots, 8, 13, 14, 27$

References

- [1] M. B. Villarino. Mertens's proof of Merten's theorem. [arXiv:math/0504289](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0504289), Apr 2005.