

Cinquante signes

The Ghost Iteration

novembre 09, 2019





El Greco, *The Vision of Saint John* (1610-1614)

Take an integer like 2019.

We will call "ghost" the concatenation of the absolute differences of its digits.

The *ghost* of 2019 is thus 218.

(We don't keep the possible leading zeros of a *ghost*: for example, the *ghost* of 1186 is 72 (not 072).

The A rule:

If a *ghost* is even, add it to the starting integer. Else subtract it. And iterate from there.

```

2019
+ 218 <-- first ghost
-----
2237
+ 14 <-- second ghost
-----
2251
+ 34 <-- third ghost
-----
2285
- 63 <-- fourth ghost
-----
2222
= 0 <-- last and zero ghost

```

It seems that every integer will have a *zero ghost* at the end of the process. And this seems to be true even with the *opposite B rule*.

The B rule:

If a *ghost* is odd, add it to the starting integer. Else subtract it. And iterate from there.

```

2019
- 218 <-- first ghost
-----
1801
+ 781 <-- second ghost
-----
2582
- 336 <-- third ghost
-----

```

```

2246
- 22  <-- fourth ghost
-----
2224
- 2   <-- fifth ghost
-----
2222
= 0   <-- last and zero ghost

```

Now, how could we submit this *Ghost Iteration* to the OEIS? We must note that a single-digit integer has no ghost: 8, for instance, lives by itself.

Let's see what the **A rule** produces with the successive integers > 9:

"If a *ghost* is even, add it to the starting integer. Else subtract it. And iterate from there".

```

10 - 1 = 9 --> END (single digit)
11 --> zero ghost = END
12 - 1 = 11 (END, see above)
13 + 2 = 15
      15 + 4 = 19
            19 + 8 = 27
                  27 - 5 = 22 (zero ghost, END)
14 - 3 = 11 (zero ghost, END)
15 (see above)
16 - 5 = 11 (zero ghost, END)
17 + 6 = 23
      23 - 1 = 22 (zero ghost, END)
18 - 7 = 11 (zero ghost, END)
19 (see above)
20 + 2 = 22 (zero ghost, END)
21 - 1 = 20 (see above)
22 (zero ghost, END)
23 - 1 = 22 (zero ghost, END)
24 + 2 = 26

```

$$26 + 4 = 30$$

$$30 - 3 = 27$$

$$27 - 5 = 22 \text{ (zero ghost, END)}$$

$$25 - 3 = 22 \text{ (zero ghost, END)}$$

26 (see above)

27 (see above)

$$28 + 6 = 34$$

$$34 - 1 = 33 \text{ (zero ghost, END)}$$

$$29 - 7 = 22 \text{ (zero ghost, END)}$$

30 (see above)

$$31 + 2 = 33 \text{ (zero ghost, END)}$$

etc.

We could assign to every $n > 9$ the number of iterations before reaching an END:

$$10 \sim 1$$

$$11 \sim 0$$

$$12 \sim 1$$

$$13 \sim 4$$

$$14 \sim 1$$

$$15 \sim 3$$

$$16 \sim 1$$

$$17 \sim 2$$

$$18 \sim 1$$

$$19 \sim 2$$

$$20 \sim 1$$

$$21 \sim 1$$

$$22 \sim 0$$

$$23 \sim 1$$

$$24 \sim 4$$

$$25 \sim 1$$

$$26 \sim 3$$

$$27 \sim 1$$

28 ~ 2

29 ~ 1

30 ~ 2

31 ~ 1

etc.

This sequence could be defined like that:

> Number of steps such that $n+9$ reaches an END in the *Ghost Iteration* (see the Comments section).

$S = 1, 0, 1, 4, 1, 3, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 0, 1, 4, 1, 3, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, \dots$

Yes, there is a pattern there:

$S = \underline{1}, \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \underline{4}, \underline{1}, \underline{3}, \underline{1}, \underline{2}, \underline{1}, \underline{2}, \underline{1}, \underline{1}, \underline{0}, \underline{1}, \underline{4}, \underline{1}, \underline{3}, \underline{1}, \underline{2}, \underline{1}, \underline{2}, \underline{1}, \dots$

A similar sequence could be submitted for the **B rule**.

Note that if an integer enters a loop, it will have no ghost (see below); the S sequence should thus have a minus one (-1) value for such ns .

Last word: some integers have many ancestors, no matter the rule — but this is another (fun) story.

Best,

É.

11th nov 2019 update.

Maximilian Hasler was kind enough to put the **A rule** [here](#), and the **B rule** [there](#) (OEIS).

Harvey P. Dale was the first to spot an integer entering a loop (thus having no 'zero ghost'): 11090.

This integer can produce an infinite family of such 'loopers' by preceding 11090 by any quantity of 1-repunits: 111090, 1111090, 11111090, etc.

More *loopers* were found a few hours later by Maximilian and Lars Blomberg (see below)

11th nov 2019 second update

Maximilian Hasler has put a lot of stuff on the OEIS (follow the links, read and enjoy!-)

[Here](#) is the « Irregular table whose rows list the nontrivial cycles of the ghost iteration [A329200](#) [**rule A**] starting with the smallest member »:

10891, 12709, 11130, 11107, 11090, 43600, 44960, 45496, 44343, 44232, 44021, 74780, 78098, 76207, 75800, 78180, 79958, 77915, 78199, 79979, 82001, 110891, 112709, 111130, 111107, 111090, 180164, 258316, 224791, 227119, 232727, 221172, 220107, 217990, 201781,...

[Here](#) is the « Irregular table whose rows list the nontrivial cycles of the ghost iteration [A329201](#) [**rule B**], starting with the smallest member »:

8290, 8969, 9102, 17998, 24199, 21819, 20041, 22084, 21800, 20020, 21901, 23792, 25219, 54503, 55656, 55767, 55978, 56399, 55039, 87290, 88869, 88892, 88909, 89108, 108070, 126947, 141300, 221901, 223792, 225219, 554503, 555656, 555767, 555978, 556399, 555039,...

Here is a list of 107 *loopers* (**rule A**) sent to me by **Lars Blomberg**, confirming Harvey's and Maximilian's computations:

10891, 11090, 11107, 11130, 12709, 43600, 44021, 44232, 44343, 44960, 45496, 74780, 75800, 76207, 77915, 78098, 78180, 78199, 79958, 79979, 82001, 110891, 111090, 111107, 111130, 112709, 180164, 201781, 217990, 220107, 221172, 224791, 227119, 232727, 258316, 443600, 444021, 444232, 444343, 444960, 445496, 774780, 776207, 778098, 858699, 873052, 891929, 1110891, 1111090, 1111107, 1111130, 1112709, 3270071, 3301514, 3427147, 4381182, 4412625, 4443600, 4444021, 4444232, 4444343, 4444960, 4445496, 4538258, 5492293, 5523736, 5649369, 7774780, 7776207, 7778098, 8858699, 8873052, 8891929, 11110891, 11111090, 11111107, 11111130, 11112709, 33270071, 33301514, 33427147, 44381182, 44412625, 44443600, 44444021, 44444232, 44444343, 44444960, 44445496, 44538258, 55492293, 55523736, 55649369, 77774780, 77776207, 77778098, 85869922, 87305285, 88858699, 88873052, 88891929, 89192992, 111110891, 111111090, 111111107, 111111130, 111112709,...

I couldn't find any integer that would loop under both rules **A** and **B**, though. Looks impossible to me...

Again, many thanks to all!

Best,

É.



Pour laisser un commentaire, cliquez sur le bouton ci-dessous afin de vous connecter avec Google.

SE CONNECTER AVEC GOOGLE

Posts les plus consultés de ce blog

A square for three (chess)

juin 22, 2024



(English translation after the French text) Voici cinq problèmes d'échecs disjoints : a) combien faut-il de coups au minimum pour que trois pions soient capturés sur la même case ? b) trois tours c) trois c ...

[LIRE LA SUITE](#)

Le tripalin se présente

avril 11, 2024



Un tripalin est constitué de trois images. Chaque image illustre un substantif. Accolés, ces trois substantifs forment une chaîne palindromique. Laquelle nous vous invitons à trouver. Exer ...

[LIRE LA SUITE](#)

Some strings au cinéma Galeries

juillet 19, 2024

Lettre ouverte au cinéma Galeries Bonsoir à tous, Je viens de voir pour la seconde fois chez vous le beau film de Léos Carax (la première fois c'était le 26 juin en présence du réalisateur, au BRIFF). Apparus à l'écran aujourd'hui, avant la projection propre ...

[LIRE LA SUITE](#)

 Fourni par Blogger

Images de thèmes de [Michael Elkan](#)



ÉRIC ANGELINI

[CONSULTER LE PROFIL](#)

Archiver



[Signaler un abus](#)