

f  
f91

~~1157~~  
~~LCM {1, 2, 3, 4, ...}~~  
~~N 619~~

A2805  
A25529

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

219 POND LABORATORY  
UNIVERSITY PARK, PENNSYLVANIA 16802

College of Science  
Department of Statistics

16 January 1975

Area Code 814  
865-1348

Mr N J A Sloane  
Bell Laboratories  
600 Mountain Avenue  
Murray Hill NJ 07974

Dear Mr Sloane:

✓

Referring to our correspondence of last August about sequences, I have two others to suggest. I enclose a reprint, and call your attention to the probability distribution of Eq. (21). For  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$ , the denominators of these fractions form the sequence 1, 3, 11, 25, 137, 147, 1089, ... which starts off rather like your Sequence 1157. The numerator of the first term  $p_k(1)$  is of course the L. C. M. of the first  $k$  integers, and I find to my surprise that you also do not have this sequence. Furthermore, the rule for the formation of the first sequence above is this: Take the L. C. M. of the first  $k$  integers, divide by each of the first  $k$  integers and add.

The curious thing is that one of these sequences starts off like what you call "The numerators of the Harmonic Numbers" and the other (the LCM s) starts off like what you call "The denominators of the Harmonic Numbers".

Very sincerely yours,

*Frank A Haight*  
Frank A Haight

f Sai Haight

91



2805

**Bell Laboratories**

600 Mountain Avenue  
Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974  
Phone (201) 582-3000

April 21, 1975

Dr. Frank A. Haight  
Department of Statistics  
Penn State University  
219 Pond Lab  
University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

Dear Dr. Haight:

Thank you for your letter of 6 January, to which this is a belated reply. I was interested to see your paper from the Journal of Mathematical Psychology.

The explanation of why the sequence of denominators of Eq. (21),

n	1	2	3	4	5	6
a <sub>n</sub>	1	3	11	25	137	147

is so close to the numerators of the harmonic numbers,

b <sub>n</sub>	1	3	11	25	137	49
----------------	---	---	----	----	-----	----

is as follows. In both cases we are looking at the sum

$$S_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}.$$

To get b<sub>n</sub>, reduce S<sub>n</sub> to lowest terms as

$$S_n = \frac{b_n}{c_n},$$

where c<sub>n</sub> is sequence 619 (cancelling as many factors as possible). For example,

$$S_6 = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{147}{60} = \frac{49}{20},$$

so that b<sub>6</sub> = 49, c<sub>6</sub> = 20.

But to get your sequence  $a_n$ , write

$$S_n = \frac{a_n}{d_n},$$

where  $d_n = \text{l.c.m.}\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Since  $d_6 = 60$ , we have  $a_6 = 147$ .

So they are close, but genuinely distinct sequences.

Of course the  $d_n$  sequence should have been in the book. It will be included in the next supplement. (But I think the  $a_n$  sequence is too close to  $b_n$ ).

Thank you again for your letter, and please let me know if you come across any other sequences that should be included.

Yours sincerely,

MH-1216-NJAS-mv

N. J. A. Sloane