44. But this expresses the fact that, if we take the quaternary

\[ Tdx + Qdy + Rdz + Tdt, \]

and express the four discriminoids formed by supposing \( x, y, z, \) and \( t \) successively to be constant [viz., \( \Delta_2(Q, R, T), \Delta_2(R, T, P), \Delta_2(T, P, Q), \) and \( \Delta_2(P, Q, R) \)], then those four discriminoids are connected by a linear relation, so that, if three vanish identically, so will the fourth. Now, in order to apply the contents of this paper to the ascertainning whether a given quaternary admits of a single solution containing all the variables, we proceed thus: form \( \Delta_2(P, Q, R) \), and if it vanishes identically form \( \Delta_2(Q, R, T) \), and if that again is null form \( \Delta_2(R, T, P) \). If that too vanishes identically, then we may be sure that \( \Delta_2(T, P, Q) \) also vanishes identically, and that the quaternary is completely integrable. If, however, one of the discriminoids, say \( \Delta_2(P, Q, R) \), does not vanish identically, then seek all the exceptional solutions, discriminoidal or other, of \( Tdx + Qdy + Rdz \), which contain \( x, y, z, \) and \( t \) (i.e., all the four variables). If no such solution can be found, the quaternary has no single solution in all the variables. If such a solution can be found, and reduces to zero the quaternary as well as the ternary, it is the required single solution of the latter. If it does not reduce the quaternary to zero, then the quaternary has no single solution whatever. It should be remarked that any one of the contained ternaries may possibly have more suitable solutions than one, and each one of the solutions of the ternary first tried should be tested by the quaternary. The process is much the same for quinary and higher forms.

**Discussion of Two Double Series arising from the Number of Terms in Determinants of Certain Forms. By J. D. H. Dickson, M.A.**

[Read March 15th, 1879.]

The first double series arises from the number of non-vanishing terms in a determinant of \( n^2 \) elements, with one diagonal of \( r \) zero-elements.

If \( u_n \) be the number of such terms in a determinant as above described, it is found, by summation, in two different ways, that

\[ u_{n+r} = (n-r)u_{n-1,r+1} + (r-1)u_{n-1,r-2} \quad \ldots \ldots \quad (1), \]

and

\[ u_{n+r} = u_{n,r+1} + u_{n+1,r} \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \quad (2). \]

From (2), if \( E, F \) be two operators operating only on \( n \), and such that \( E \) refers to \( n \) alone, and \( F \) to \( r \) alone, then

\[ E = EF + 1 \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \quad (3); \]
whence, in combination with (1), various formulae may be obtained. For example, a formula connecting three determinants of the same order, is

\[(n-r+1)u_{n,r} = (n-2r+1)u_{n,r-1} + (r-1)u_{n,r-2} \ldots \ldots \ldots (4);\]

and one connecting three consecutive numbers on the same diagonal of Table No. 1, is

\[u_{n,r} = (n-1)u_{n-1,r-1} + (r-1)u_{n-2,r-2} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (5).\]

From (5), by putting \(r = n\), we have

\[u_{n,n} = (n-1)(u_{n-1,n-1} + u_{n-2,n-2}) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (6);\]

a first integral of which is

\[u_{n,n} = n(u_{n-1,n-1} + (-1)^n) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (7).\]

The generating function of (7) is easily found to be

\[u = \frac{e^{x}}{1 - e} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (8).\]

Table No. 1 is a table of values of \(u_{n,r}\), calculated by means of (2); from which, in the case of \(n = 7, r = 3\), we have, as examples of (1), (2), (4), and (7),

\[3216 = 4.504 + 2.060,\]
\[3216 = 2790 + 426,\]
\[5.3216 = 2.3720 + 2.4320,\]
\[1853 = 7.265 - 1.\]

The second double series arises from the number of non-vanishing terms of a determinant of \(n^2\) elements, with two adjacent diagonals of \(r\) and \(r - 1\) zero-elements.

If \(v_{n,r}\) be the number of such terms in a determinant as above described, it is found that

\[v_{n,r} = v_{n,r-1} + 2v_{n-1,r} + v_{n-2,r-1} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (9).\]

From (9), using the same operators as in the previous case, we have

\[E^2F' = E^2F'^2 + 2EF' + 1 \text{ or } (EF' + 1)^2 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (10).\]

By a process of some length, which does not appear to admit of much simplification, it is also found that

\[v_{n,n} = (n-1)(v_{n-1,n-1} + v_{n-2,n-2}) + v_{n-3,n-3} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (11),\]

a first integral of which is

\[(n-1)v_{n,n} = (n^2 - n - 1)v_{n-1,n-1} + n v_{n-2,n-2} - (-1)^n 2 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (12).\]

Table No. 2 is a table of values of \(v_{n,r}\), calculated by means of (9); from which, in the case of \(n = 8, r = 5\), we have, as examples of (9), (11), and (12),

\[11274 = 8756 + 2.1168 + 182,\]
\[5413 = 7(675 + 96) + 16,\]
\[7.5413 = 55.675 + 8.16 - 2.\]