

do!

BFS

MTAC 16 (1962)

1528  
1530  
~~2834~~  
615

for calculation of Bessel  
al Bessel functions in digital  
hev series," MTAC, v. IX, p.  
xpansions of a class of hyper-  
York, 1956, p. 57.

# Majority Decision Functions of up to Six Variables

By S. Muroga, I. Toda, and M. Kondo

**1. Introduction.** Recently logical elements based essentially on the majority decision principle have been widely used in electronic computers. Among these elements are parametrons, magnetic cores, transistor-resistor logic, et cetera.

The logical behavior of such elements can be expressed by a model called a "majority decision element" with  $n$  Boolean inputs and one Boolean output, whose operation can be described in the form of a logical function called a "majority decision function".

This paper defines the canonical representative of each equivalence class in the classification of the majority decision functions by complementing and permuting variables and by complementing the output. Also, a method is proposed to obtain all the representatives with their optimum structures, and a table of the representatives of the majority decision functions of up to six variables is provided.

The reader should be familiar with the content of a previous paper by the authors, included as reference [1].

**2. Majority Decision Functions.** A "majority decision element" of  $n$  variables is a logical element with  $n$  Boolean inputs,  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  and one Boolean output. The output value of the element is

$$(1)^* \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{one for } \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \geq T \\ &\text{zero for } \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i \leq T - 1 \end{aligned}$$

where  $w_i$  is a prescribed constant real number called a "coupling weight" associated with the input  $x_i$  and  $T$  is also a prescribed constant real number called a "threshold."

In the case of parametrons or magnetic cores, the coupling weight  $w_i$  corresponds to the number of turns of the winding of the input  $x_i$ . The threshold  $T$  is related to the number of turns  $w_c$  for the constant input by the relation,

$$(2) \quad w_c = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i + 1 - 2T$$

where  $w_c \geq 0$  means the constant of one is coupled to the element and  $w_c < 0$  means the constant of zero.

A set of  $(n + 1)$  real numbers  $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n; T)$ , which specifies the behavior of a majority decision element, will be called a "structure" of the element.

A logical function represented by a single majority decision element will be called a "majority decision function."

Received September 22, 1961.

\* The term  $-1$  on the right hand side is introduced as a normalizing factor of  $w_i$ 's and  $T$ .

For example, a majority decision element with the structure (2, 1, 1; 2) represents a function  $x_1 + x_2x_3$ ; hence, this function is a majority decision function. In contrast, the function  $x_1x_2 + x_3x_4$  is not a majority decision function since it can not be realized by any single majority decision element.

**3. Classification of Majority Decision Functions.** Logical functions obtained from a given logical function  $f$  by the following operations are defined as equivalent functions with  $f$ :

- (1) Complementation of one or more input variables,
- (2) Permutation among input variables,
- (3) Complementation of  $f$ .

It is a well known fact that the logical functions can be classified into equivalent classes by this equivalent relation. Once a structure of a majority decision function is given, its equivalent functions can be easily realized in the same element by complementing and/or permuting input variables and/or by complementing the output. Thus, it is not necessary to determine the whole of the majority decision functions; it is sufficient to know the representatives of their equivalence classes. It should be noted that this limits the study to a much smaller number of functions.

In the case of general logical functions, it is difficult to extract systematically one representative from each equivalence class, but in the case of majority decision functions there is a way to define a canonical representative of each equivalence class from the intrinsic nature of majority decision functions.

The method of determining the canonical representative is described below. Hereafter in this section the majority decision function is assumed to have  $n$  non-vacuous variables.

Any majority decision function can be expressed by a polynomial without any complemented variable by appropriately complementing one or more variables (refer to [1], Section 3). Such a polynomial will be called a "positive polynomial." The way to complement the variables to obtain a positive polynomial from a given function is unique if complementing one variable more than once is prohibited. Hence we can restrict the possible representatives within positive polynomials. This is equivalent to the condition in which the representative should be realized by a majority decision element with positive coupling weights.

All the variables of a majority decision function can be ordered by a relation  $\succsim$  (refer to [1], Definition 3 and Theorem 1). Therefore, it is always possible for variables to be permuted and relabelled so that  $x_1 \succsim x_2 \succsim \dots \succsim x_m$  holds. This permutation can be uniquely determined except in the case of arbitrary permutations among some variables such as  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$  for which  $x_1 \sim x_2 \sim \dots \sim x_m$  holds. But  $x_1 \sim x_2 \sim \dots \sim x_m$  means that the given function is symmetric with respect to these variables, and therefore the function is invariant under the permutations among  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$ . Thus, the function for which  $x_1 \succsim x_2 \succsim \dots \succsim x_n$  holds is unique and can well be adopted as a possible representative. Of course, this is equivalent to the condition in which  $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \dots \geq w_n$  holds for the representative majority decision element. Note that as a conclusion from the above requirements, we have  $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq \dots \geq w_n > 0$  except  $w_c \leq 0$ .

Only two functions left in each class satisfy both of the conditions just described.

If we denote one of the representatives of a majority decision function by  $f$ , then the other is  $f^*$ . (2). A unique representative of each equivalence class is either of the two inequalities  $f \subseteq f^*$  or  $f^* \subseteq f$ .

Thus, it is shown that there is a unique representative of each equivalence class of majority decision functions under the above conditions:

*Conditions I.*

- (1) A positive polynomial
- (2)  $x_1 \succsim x_2 \succsim \dots \succsim x_n$
- (3)  $f$  such that  $f \subseteq f^*$ .

Given a majority decision function  $f$ , a unique representative of the equivalence class of  $f$  is

**4. A Method to Obtain Canonical Representatives of Majority Decision Functions.** From the above conditions, it is possible to determine whether a given function is a majority decision function, at least in principle, by applying the criterion (3). However, take an impractical approach for large values of  $n$ , but this can be reduced if we can confine the search to a small number of candidates.

Accordingly, a method is proposed which includes all the representatives of a majority decision function under the criterion only to those candidates which are called "candidates" of the majority decision function.

Any positive majority decision function  $f$  can be expressed as  $f = \sum_{i=1}^M x_i$ , where  $M$  and  $N$  are both positive integers,  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are the variables,  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . The number of candidates within such functions is  $2^n$ . This is all the majority decision functions. Here is one of the recursive methods with respect to the number of variables  $n$ .

Moreover, if we choose a representative  $f$  of a majority decision function, then the other representative  $f^*$  can be defined, then the other representative  $f^*$  of the equivalence class of  $f$  is  $f^*$ .

Then the restrictions in the above conditions (1) and (2) will be the same as the above construction.

Condition (1) will be the same as the above construction.

Condition (2) requires

(3)

must hold for both  $M$  and  $N$ , it is necessary (Corollary 1).

(4)

If we denote one of them by  $f$ , the other is the dual function  $f^*$  of  $f$ . But for a majority decision function, either  $f^* \supseteq f$ , or  $f \supseteq f^*$  holds (refer to [1], Corollary 2). A unique representative of the equivalent class can be determined by requiring either of the two inequalities. If we adopt  $f$  such that  $f \subseteq f^*$ , this implies  $w_c \leq 0$ .

Thus, it is shown that there is a unique canonical representative in each equivalent class of majority decision functions which satisfies the following three conditions:

*Conditions I.*

- (1) A positive polynomial,
- (2)  $x_1 \succ x_2 \succ \dots \succ x_n$ ,
- (3)  $f$  such that  $f \subseteq f^*$ .

Given a majority decision function, we can now effectively obtain the representative of the equivalent class to which the given function belongs.

**4. A Method to Obtain the Totality of the Representatives of the Majority Decision Functions.** From Section 5 of [1] it can be determined by linear programming whether a given function is a majority decision function or not. Therefore, it is possible, at least in principle, to obtain the totality of majority decision functions by applying the criterion to all of  $2^{2^n}$  logical functions of  $n$  variables. It will, however, take an impractically long time to solve  $2^{2^n}$  linear programming problems for large values of  $n$ , but the length of time to perform computation will be greatly reduced if we can confine the scope of the functions to be tested.

Accordingly, a method is developed here to obtain a set of logical functions which includes all the representatives of majority decision functions and to apply the criterion only to those functions in the set. The functions in the set will be called "candidates" of the representatives.

Any positive majority decision function can be expressed in the form of  $Mx_1 + N$ , where  $M$  and  $N$  are both positive majority decision functions of  $(n - 1)$  variables,  $x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ . Therefore, without loss of generality, we can restrict the candidates within such functions. This assumes that we have already obtained all the majority decision functions of  $(n - 1)$  variables; hence the method described here is one of the recursive constructions of majority decision functions with respect to the number of variables.

Moreover, if we choose as the candidates those functions for which Conditions I can be defined, then the set of the candidates will certainly contain the totality of the representatives of the majority decision functions of  $n$  variables.

Then the restrictions imposed upon combinations of  $M$  and  $N$  will be examined.

Condition (1) will be trivially satisfied, for  $Mx_1 + N$  is positive from its construction.

Condition (2) requires that the relation

$$(3) \quad x_2 \succ x_3 \succ \dots \succ x_n$$

must hold for both  $M$  and  $N$ . Moreover, in order that  $x_1 \succ x_2$  may hold in  $Mx_1 + N$ , it is necessary (Corollary 1 of Reference [1]), that

$$(4) \quad m_2 \supseteq n_1,$$

where

$$m_2 = M(0, x_3, \dots, x_n)$$

$$n_1 = N(1, x_3, \dots, x_n).$$

As the relation  $\succsim$  is an ordering relation (Theorem 1 of [1]), the relation

$$(5) \quad x_1 \succsim x_2 \succsim \dots \succsim x_n$$

follows from (3) and (4).

$M$  and  $N$  are majority decision functions satisfying (3), hence the relations

$$(6) \quad m_1 \supseteq m_2 \quad \text{and} \quad n_1 \supseteq n_2$$

where

$$m_1 = M(1, x_3, \dots, x_n)$$

$$n_2 = N(0, x_3, \dots, x_n)$$

hold (Corollary 1 of Reference [1]). From (4) and (6) we have

$$(7) \quad M \supset N.$$

From (3) in Conditions I, it is necessary that

$$(8) \quad f^* = N^*x_1 + M^*N^* \supseteq f = Mx_1 + N.$$

But as  $M^*N^* = M^*$  from (7), (8) reduces to

$$(9) \quad M^* \supseteq N.$$

Thus, we choose as candidates those functions which satisfy the following conditions:

#### Conditions II

- (1) Both  $M$  and  $N$  are positive majority decision functions of  $(n - 1)$  variables,  $x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ .
- (2) For both  $M$  and  $N$ ,  $x_2 \succsim x_3 \succsim \dots \succsim x_n$ .
- (3)  $m_2 \supseteq n_1$ .
- (4)  $M^* \supseteq N$ .

By taking all the combinations of  $M$  and  $N$  which satisfy Conditions II, we can obtain the set of candidates of the representatives of majority decision functions of  $n$  variables.

$M$  and  $N$  must satisfy (1) and (2) of Conditions II. Such functions are either canonical representatives of majority decision functions or their dual functions. Therefore, once the totality of representatives of majority decision functions of  $(n - 1)$  variables are obtained, the scope within which functions  $M$  and  $N$  must be taken can be easily determined. In this way we can obtain the totality of the representatives of majority decision functions of  $n$  variables recursively.

The next problem is to examine each candidate to determine whether or not it is a majority decision function. If so, it is clearly a canonical representative of an equivalent class defined in the preceding section. The discrimination of majority decision functions from other functions can be accomplished by linear programming. The details will be found in Section 5 of [1].

5. Majority Decision Function described in Section 4, a problem MUSASINO-I, and all the variables were obtained.

The canonical representative Muroga [3] at that time, is completely.

The canonical representative in Table 1. The function  $V = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i$ , which is constant. Functions are expressed. For instance, 12 +

In the same entry of the optimum structure is, namely, a structure which in [1].

To establish the threshold element with a winding by merely reversing the polarity constant of one to the same.

The numbers in this table. This is because  $f$  and  $f^*$  are shown in this paper and that in Table variables are shown, while the

By computing the number of majority decision

6. Remarks on the Representatives of majority

First, it is remarkable that that is, Conditions II are satisfied by a single majority decision

Second, it is interesting that are all integer-valued in spite as a solution of a system

A structure of a majority inequalities (Section 5 of [1])

(10)

The third remark concerns equalities. It has been noted

**5. Majority Decision Functions of up to Six Variables.** Following the procedure described in Section 4, a program was written for the parametron digital computer MUSASINO-I, and all the canonical representatives of the functions of up to six variables were obtained.

The canonical representatives of up to five variables had been obtained by S. Muroga [3] at that time, using a combinatorial method. Both results agreed completely.

The canonical representatives of the functions of up to six variables are shown in Table 1. The functions are numbered according to the magnitude of  $V = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i$ , which is expected to denote the complexity of functions to some extent. Functions are expressed by denoting the variables by means of their subscripts. For instance, 12 + 13 + 23 stands for the function  $x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3$ .

In the same entry of the table an optimum structure of the function is shown. The optimum structure is one with a minimum number of total turns of windings, namely, a structure which minimizes  $(w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_n + |w_c|)$  (Section 5 in [1]).

To establish the threshold  $T$ , the constant input of zero must be coupled to the element with a winding of  $2T - V - 1$  turns. Dual functions can be realized by merely reversing the polarity of the constant input, that is, by coupling the constant of one to the same winding.

The numbers in this table are somewhat different from those shown in [1]. This is because  $f$  and  $f^*$  are considered to belong to the same equivalence class in this paper and that in Table 1 the numbers of functions of  $n$  (nonvacuous) variables are shown, while the numbers for up to  $n$  variables are shown in [1].

By computing the number of the members of each equivalent class, the total numbers of majority decision functions are obtained and shown in Table 2.

**6. Remarks on the Results.** Some remarks are added here concerning the representatives of majority decision functions of up to six variables.

First, it is remarkable that all the candidates proved to be true representatives, that is, Conditions II are sufficient for a function of up to six variables to be realized by a single majority decision element.

Second, it is interesting to note that the optimum structures  $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$  are all integer-valued in spite of the fact that the optimum structure is obtained as a solution of a system of inequalities of the form of equation (1).

A structure of a majority decision function is a solution of a system of  $2^n$  linear inequalities (Section 5 of Reference [1]).

$$\begin{aligned}
 (10) \quad & a_i \geq b_i \quad \therefore = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n \\ i \rightarrow 1, 2, \dots, n \end{array} \right\} \\
 & x = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_n \\ T \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

The third remark concerns the structure of the solution space of these inequalities. It has been noted that for a majority decision function of up to five

$x_n$   
 $x_n$   
 rem 1 of [1]), the relation

$x_n$   
 atisfying (3), hence the relations

$\supseteq n_2$

$x_n$

$x_n$

nd (6) we have

$Mx_1 + N.$

ons which satisfy the following

ision functions of  $(n - 1)$  var-

hich satisfy Conditions II, we  
 tives of majority decision func-

ns II. Such functions are either  
 ctions or their dual functions.  
 majority decision functions of  
 hich functions  $M$  and  $N$  must  
 can obtain the totality of the  
 variables recursively.

e to determine whether or not  
 y a canonical representative of  
 The discrimination of majority  
 mplished by linear program-

TABLE 1  
Representative Functions of Majority Decision Functions of up to Six Variables

No.	V	$w_i$	T	Representative Function	No.	V	$w_i$	T	Representative Function
$n = 2$					$n = 5$				
1	2	11	2	12	19	9	32211	7	123 + 1245 + 1345
$n = 3$					20	9	33111	6	12 + 1345 + 2345
1	3	111	2	12 + 13 + 23	21	9	33111	7	123 + 124 + 125
2	3	111	3	123	22	9	42111	6	12 + 134 + 135 + 145
3	4	211	3	12 + 13	23	10	32221	6	123 + 124 + 134 + 234 + 125 + 135 + 145
$n = 4$					24	10	32221	7	123 + 124 + 134 + 2345
1	4	1111	3	123 + 124 + 134 + 234	25	10	33211	6	12 + 134 + 234 + 135 + 235
2	4	1111	4	1234	26	10	33211	8	123 + 1245
3	5	2111	3	12 + 13 + 14 + 234	27	10	42211	6	12 + 13 + 145 + 2345
4	5	2111	4	123 + 124 + 134	28	10	42211	7	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135
5	6	2211	4	12 + 134 + 234	29	10	43111	7	12 + 1345
6	6	2211	5	123 + 124	30	11	33221	7	123 + 124 + 134 + 234 + 125
7	6	3111	4	12 + 13 + 14	31	11	33221	8	123 + 124 + 1345 + 2345
8	7	3211	5	12 + 134	32	11	43211	7	12 + 134 + 135 + 2345
9	8	3221	5	12 + 13 + 234	33	11	52211	7	12 + 13 + 145
$n = 5$					34	12	33222	7	123 + 124 + 134 + 234 + 125 + 135 + 235 + 145 + 245
1	5	11111	3	123 + 124 + 134 + 234 + 125 + 135 + 235 + 145 + 245 + 345	35	12	43221	7	12 + 134 + 234 + 135 + 145
2	5	11111	4	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1345 + 2345	36	12	43221	8	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 2345
3	5	11111	5	12345	37	12	43221	9	123 + 124 + 1345
4	6	21111	4	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 145 + 2345	38	12	43311	7	12 + 13 + 234 + 235
5	6	21111	5	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1345	39	12	52221	7	12 + 13 + 14 + 2345
6	7	22111	4	12 + 134 + 234 + 135 + 235 + 145 + 245	40	12	53211	8	12 + 134 + 135
7	7	22111	5	123 + 124 + 125 + 1345 + 2345	41	13	43321	8	123 + 124 + 125 + 135 + 134 + 234
8	7	22111	6	1234 + 1235 + 1245	42	13	53221	9	123 + 124 + 125 + 134
9	7	31111	4	12 + 13 + 14 + 15 + 2345	43	13	53311	8	12 + 13 + 2345
10	7	31111	5	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 145	44	14	43322	8	123 + 124 + 125 + 134 + 135 + 145 + 234 + 235
11	8	22211	5	123 + 124 + 134 + 234 + 125 + 135 + 235	45	14	53321	8	12 + 13 + 145 + 234
12	8	22211	6	123 + 1245 + 1345 + 2345	46	14	54221	9	12 + 134 + 2345
13	8	22211	7	1234 + 1235	47	15	54321	9	12 + 134 + 135 + 234
14	8	32111	5	12 + 134 + 135 + 145 + 2345	48	16	54322	9	12 + 134 + 145 + 135 + 234 + 235
15	8	32111	6	123 + 124 + 125 + 1345	$n = 6$				
16	8	41111	5	12 + 13 + 14 + 15	1	6	111111	4	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1345 + 1236 + 1246 + 1346 + 1256 + 1356 + 1456 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356 + 2456 + 3456
17	9	32211	5	12 + 13 + 234 + 235 + 145	2	6	111111	5	12345 + 12346 + 12356 + 12456 + 13456 + 23456
18	9	32211	6	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 2345	3	6	111111	6	123456
$n = 6$					4	7	211111	4	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 145 + 126 + 136 + 146 + 156 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356 + 2456 + 3456

404

No.	V	$w_1 \sim w_6$	T	Representative Function	No.	V	$w_1 \sim w_6$	T	Representative Function
$n = 6$					$n = 6$				
5	7	211111	5	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1345 + 1236 + 1246 + 1346 + 1256 + 1356 + 1456 + 23456	47	11	521111	7	12 + 134 + 135 + 145 + 136 + 146 + 156
6	7	211111	6	12345 + 12346 + 12356 + 12456 + 13456	48	12	322221	7	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 145 + 135 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356 + 2456 + 3456
7	8	221111	5	123 + 124 + 125 + 1345 + 126 + 1346 + 1356 + 1456 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356 + 2456	49	12	322221	8	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1345 + 1236 + 1246 + 1346 + 1256 + 1356 + 1456 + 2345
8	8	221111	6	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1236 + 1246 + 1256 + 13456 + 23456	50	12	322221	9	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1345 + 23456
9	8	221111	7	12345 + 12316 + 12356 + 12456	51	12	332211	7	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 126 + 1356 + 1456 + 234 + 2356 + 2456
10	8	311111	5	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 145 + 126 + 136 + 146 + 156 + 23456	52	12	332211	8	123 + 124 + 1345 + 1346 + 1256 + 2345 + 2346
11	8	311111	6	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1345 + 1236 + 1246 + 1346 + 1256 + 1356 + 1456	53	12	332211	9	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1236 + 1246 + 13456 + 23456
12	9	222111	5	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 126 + 136 + 1456 + 234 + 235 + 236 + 2456 + 2456	54	12	332211	10	1234 + 12356 + 12456
$n = 6$					55	12	333111	7	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 126 + 136 + 234 + 235 + 236
$n = 6$					56	12	333111	9	123 + 12456 + 13456 + 23456

2	5	11111	4	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1345 + 2345
3	5	11111	5	12345
4	6	21111	4	123 + 124 + 131 + 125 + 135 + 145 + 2345
5	6	21111	5	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1345
6	7	22111	4	12 + 134 + 234 + 135 + 235 + 145 + 245
7	7	22111	5	123 + 124 + 125 + 1345 + 2345
8	7	22111	6	1234 + 1235 + 1245
9	7	31111	4	12 + 13 + 14 + 15 + 2345
10	7	31111	5	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 145
11	8	22211	5	123 + 124 + 134 + 234 + 125 + 135 + 235
12	8	22211	6	123 + 1245 + 1345 + 2345
13	8	22211	7	1234 + 1235
14	8	32111	5	12 + 134 + 135 + 145 + 2345
15	8	32111	6	123 + 124 + 125 + 1345
16	8	41111	5	12 + 13 + 14 + 15
17	9	32211	5	12 + 13 + 234 + 145 + 145
18	9	32211	6	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 2345

44	14	43322	8	123 + 121 + 125 + 131 + 135 + 145 + 234 + 235
45	14	53321	8	12 + 13 + 145 + 234
46	14	54221	9	12 + 134 + 2345
47	15	54321	9	12 + 134 + 135 + 234
48	16	54322	9	12 + 134 + 145 + 135 + 234 + 235

  

No.	V	w <sub>1</sub> ~ w <sub>6</sub>	T	Representative Function
n = 6				
1	6	111111	4	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1345 + 1236 + 1246 + 1346 + 1256 + 1356 + 1456 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356 + 2456 + 3456
2	6	111111	5	12345 + 12346 + 12356 + 12456 + 13456 + 23456
3	6	111111	6	123456
4	7	211111	4	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 145 + 126 + 136 + 146 + 156 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356 + 2456 + 3456

465

No.	V	w <sub>1</sub> ~ w <sub>6</sub>	T	Representative Function
n = 6				
5	7	211111	5	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1345 + 1236 + 1246 + 1346 + 1256 + 1356 + 1456 + 23456
6	7	211111	6	12345 + 12346 + 12356 + 12456 + 13456
7	8	221111	5	123 + 124 + 125 + 1345 + 126 + 1346 + 1356 + 1456 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356 + 2456
8	8	221111	6	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1236 + 1246 + 1256 + 13456 + 23456
9	8	221111	7	12345 + 12346 + 12356 + 12456
10	8	311111	5	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 145 + 126 + 136 + 146 + 156 + 23456
11	8	311111	6	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1345 + 1236 + 1246 + 1346 + 1256 + 1356 + 1456
12	9	222111	5	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 126 + 136 + 1456 + 234 + 235 + 236 + 2456 + 3456
13	9	222111	6	123 + 1245 + 1345 + 1246 + 1346 + 1256 + 1356 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356
14	9	222111	7	1234 + 1235 + 1236 + 12456 + 13456 + 23456
15	9	222111	8	12345 + 12346 + 12356
16	9	321111	5	12 + 134 + 135 + 145 + 136 + 146 + 156 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356 + 2456
17	9	321111	6	123 + 124 + 125 + 1345 + 126 + 1346 + 1356 + 1456 + 23456
18	9	321111	7	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1236 + 1246 + 1256 + 13456
19	9	411111	5	12 + 13 + 14 + 15 + 16 + 23456
20	9	411111	6	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 145 + 126 + 136 + 146 + 156
21	10	222211	6	123 + 124 + 131 + 1256 + 1356 + 1456 + 234 + 2356 + 2456 + 3456
22	10	222211	7	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1345 + 1236 + 1246 + 1346 + 2345 + 2346
23	10	222211	8	1234 + 12356 + 12456 + 13456 + 23456
24	10	222211	9	12345 + 12346
25	10	322111	6	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 126 + 136 + 1456 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356
26	10	322111	7	123 + 1245 + 1345 + 1246 + 1346 + 1256 + 1356 + 23456
27	10	322111	8	1234 + 1235 + 1236 + 12456 + 13456
28	10	331111	6	12 + 1345 + 1346 + 1356 + 1456 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356 + 2456
29	10	331111	7	123 + 124 + 125 + 126 + 13456 + 23456
30	10	331111	8	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1236 + 1246 + 1256
31	10	421111	6	12 + 134 + 135 + 145 + 136 + 146 + 156 + 23456
32	10	421111	7	123 + 124 + 125 + 1345 + 126 + 1346 + 1356 + 1456
33	10	511111	6	12 + 13 + 14 + 15 + 16
34	11	322211	6	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 145 + 126 + 136 + 146 + 234 + 2356 + 2456 + 3456
35	11	322211	7	123 + 124 + 134 + 1256 + 1356 + 1456 + 2345 + 2346
36	11	322211	8	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1345 + 1236 + 1246 + 1346 + 23456
37	11	322211	9	1234 + 12356 + 12456 + 13456
38	11	332111	6	12 + 131 + 135 + 136 + 1456 + 234 + 235 + 236 + 2456
39	11	332111	7	123 + 124 + 125 + 1345 + 126 + 1346 + 1356 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356
40	11	332111	8	123 + 1245 + 1246 + 1256 + 13456 + 23456
41	11	332111	9	1234 + 1235 + 1236 + 12456
42	11	422111	6	12 + 13 + 145 + 146 + 156 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356
43	11	422111	7	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 126 + 136 + 1456 + 23456
44	11	422111	8	123 + 1245 + 1345 + 1246 + 1346 + 1256 + 1356
45	11	431111	7	12 + 1345 + 1346 + 1356 + 1456 + 23456
46	11	431111	8	123 + 124 + 125 + 126 + 13456

No.	V	w <sub>1</sub> ~ w <sub>6</sub>	T	Representative Function
n = 6				
47	11	521111	7	12 + 134 + 135 + 145 + 136 + 146 + 156
48	12	322221	7	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 145 + 135 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356 + 2456 + 3456
49	12	322221	8	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1345 + 1236 + 1246 + 1346 + 1256 + 1356 + 1456 + 2345
50	12	322221	9	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1345 + 1236 + 1246 + 1346 + 1256 + 1356 + 1456 + 2345
51	12	332211	7	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 126 + 1356 + 1456 + 234 + 2356 + 2456
52	12	332211	8	123 + 124 + 1345 + 1346 + 1256 + 2345 + 2346
53	12	332211	9	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1236 + 1246 + 13456 + 23456
54	12	332211	10	1234 + 12356 + 12456
55	12	333111	7	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 126 + 136 + 234 + 235 + 236
56	12	333111	9	123 + 12456 + 13456 + 23456
57	12	333111	10	1234 + 1235 + 1236
58	12	422211	7	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 145 + 126 + 136 + 146 + 2345 + 2346
59	12	422211	8	123 + 124 + 134 + 1256 + 1356 + 1456 + 23456
60	12	422211	9	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1345 + 1236 + 1246 + 1346
61	12	432111	7	12 + 134 + 135 + 136 + 1456 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356
62	12	432111	8	123 + 124 + 125 + 1345 + 126 + 1346 + 1356 + 23456
63	12	432111	9	123 + 1245 + 1246 + 1256 + 13456
64	12	441111	8	12 + 13456 + 23456
65	12	441111	9	123 + 124 + 125 + 126
66	12	522111	7	12 + 13 + 145 + 146 + 156 + 23456
67	12	522111	8	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 126 + 136 + 1456
68	12	531111	8	12 + 1345 + 1346 + 1356 + 1456
69	13	332221	7	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 145 + 126 + 234 + 235 + 245 + 3456
70	13	332221	8	123 + 124 + 125 + 1345 + 1346 + 1356 + 1456 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356 + 2456
71	13	332221	9	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1345 + 1236 + 1246 + 1256 + 2345
72	13	332221	10	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 13456 + 23456
73	13	333211	8	123 + 124 + 134 + 1256 + 1356 + 234 + 2356
74	13	333211	9	123 + 1245 + 1345 + 1246 + 1346 + 2345 + 2346
75	13	333211	11	1234 + 12356
76	13	432211	7	12 + 134 + 135 + 145 + 136 + 146 + 234 + 2356 + 2456
77	13	432211	8	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 126 + 1356 + 1456 + 2345 + 2346
78	13	432211	9	123 + 124 + 1345 + 1346 + 1256 + 23456
79	13	432211	10	1234 + 1235 + 1245 + 1236 + 1246 + 13456
80	13	433111	7	12 + 13 + 1456 + 231 + 235 + 236
81	13	433111	8	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 126 + 136 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356
82	13	433111	10	123 + 12456 + 13456
83	13	442111	8	12 + 1345 + 1346 + 1356 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356
84	13	442111	10	123 + 1245 + 1246 + 1256
85	13	522211	7	12 + 13 + 14 + 156 + 2345 + 2346
86	13	522211	8	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 145 + 126 + 136 + 146 + 23456
87	13	522211	9	123 + 124 + 134 + 1256 + 1356 + 1456
88	13	532111	8	12 + 134 + 135 + 136 + 1456 + 23456
89	13	532111	9	123 + 124 + 125 + 1345 + 126 + 1346 + 1356
90	13	541111	9	12 + 13456
91	13	622111	8	12 + 13 + 145 + 146 + 156

2834  
6150

TABLE 2  
The Number of Majority Decision Functions

$n$	Number of Logical Functions of up to $n$ Variables	Number of Types of Logical Functions of $n$ Variables*	Number of Types of Majority Decision Functions of $n$ Variables	Number of Majority Decision Functions of $n$ Variables	Number of Types of Self-Dual Majority Decision Functions of $n$ Variables
1		4	1	2	1
2		16	2	8	0
3		256	10	72	1
4	65, 536	208	9	1, 536	1
5	4, 294, 967, 296	615, 904	48	86, 080	4
6	18, 446, 774, 073, 709, 551, 616	1528	504	14, 487, 040	14

\* These values are obtained from the results in References [4] and [5].

TABLE 3  
The Maximum Values of Optimum Parameters of Majority Decision Functions

$n$	$w$	$V = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i$	$T$	$K$
2	1	2	2	3
3	2	4	3	5
4	3	8	5	9
5	5	16	9	17
6	9	33	18	35

variables the solution space of (10) is a pointed cone. That is, there is a certain point  $x_0$  such that

$$(11) \quad Ax_0 \geq b$$

and any solution  $x$  of (10) can be written as

$$(12) \quad x = x_0 + x' \quad Ax' \geq 0.$$

This means the solution space of (10) is a cone with  $x_0$  as a sole vertex. These structures for majority decision functions of six variables were examined and it was found that almost all the majority decision functions have solution space of a pointed cone but that 15 out of 504 representatives have spaces of non-cone structure. These functions are marked with \* in Table 1.

Fourth, some maximum values of the optimum parameters are shown in Table 3, where  $V$  is the sum of coupling weights associated with input variables and  $K$  is the total number of turns of windings including the constant winding and the relation  $K = 2T - 1$  holds. In Table 3, 26 functions have the maximum value 9 for a weight  $w$  and only one function attains the maximum value 33 of  $V$ ; there are 7 functions with maximum  $K$  of 35.

155	26	455133	17	1231 + 1205 + 1215 + 1315 + 1206 + 1210 + 1310 + 1256 + 1350 + 2345 + 2340
156	26	765132	14	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 145 + 120 + 130 + 234 + 2356 + 2450 + 2450 + 2340
157	26	761132	10	123 + 124 + 125 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 145 + 120 + 130 + 234 + 2356 + 2450 + 2340
158	26	765132	14	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 145 + 120 + 130 + 234 + 2356 + 2450 + 2340
159*	26	765122	15	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 145 + 120 + 130 + 234 + 2356 + 2450 + 2340
160	26	855122	14	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 145 + 120 + 130 + 234 + 2356 + 2450 + 2340
161	26	851322	14	12 + 134 + 135 + 145 + 136 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356 + 2456
162	26	865331	14	12 + 134 + 135 + 145 + 130 + 234 + 235
163	26	805121	16	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 135 + 126 + 1456 + 234
164	26	874322	15	12 + 134 + 135 + 145 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356
165	26	875321	15	12 + 134 + 135 + 234 + 2356
166	26	955221	17	12 + 13 + 145 + 140 + 2345 + 2346 + 2356
167	26	964121	17	123 + 124 + 134 + 125 + 23456
168	26	974321	16	12 + 134 + 1356 + 2345



7. **Acknowledgment.** The authors wish to express their thanks to Mr. R. O. Winder, RCA Laboratories, Princeton, New Jersey, for his courtesy in comparing his data with ours, and to Dr. S. Takasu, Electrical Communication Laboratory, Tokyo, for his stimulating discussions.

International Business Machines Corporation  
Thomas J. Watson Research Center  
Yorktown Heights, New York

Electronics Research Section  
Electrical Communication Laboratory  
Musashino-shi, Tokyo, and

Electronics Research Section  
Electrical Communication Laboratory  
Musashino-shi, Tokyo

1. S. MUROGA, I. TODA, S. TAKASU, "Theory of majority decision elements," *J. Franklin Inst.*, v. 271, n. 5, May 1961, p. 376-418.
2. I. TODA, M. KONDO, S. MUROGA, "Majority decision functions of six variables," *Electrical Communication Laboratory Technical Journal*, v. 10, n. 3, 1961, p. 369-403, (in Japanese).
3. S. MUROGA, "A computer program to find Boolean functions representable by a single logical element based on a majority decision principle," *Electrical Communications Laboratory Technical Journal*, v. 8, n. 6, 1959, p. 614-622, (in Japanese).
4. D. SLEPIAN, "On the number of symmetry types of Boolean functions of  $n$  variables," *Canad. J. Math.*, v. 5, n. 2, 1953, p. 185-193.
5. B. ELSPAS, "Self-complementary types of Boolean functions," *IRE Trans. on Electronic Computers*, v. EC-9, n. 2, 1960, p. 264-266.
6. R. O. WINDER, "Single stage threshold logic," *AIEE Conference Paper* 60-1261, October 1960.
7. R. C. MINNICK, "Linear-input logic," *IRE Trans. on Electronic Computers*, v. EC-10, n. 1, 1961, p. 6-16.

## TECHNICAL On the Number of Differential Equations An

**Summary.** The computation of solutions of a system of  $n$  differential equations requires numerical precision to obtain accurate results. One method of solution is to solve the difference equation over a limited range. When the system is on the borderline stability, it is difficult to obtain initial values eventually leading to a solution. To investigate this phenomenon, a method is proposed arising in analytic number theory.

**1. Introduction.** The function  $y(x)$  is defined for  $x$  or equal to  $x$  and free of periodicity theory. It has been investigated by Buchstab, and de Bruijn has been shown that

$$(1.1)$$

exists, where  $y(x)$  is a function of  $x$ .

$$(1.2)$$

with  $y(x) = 0$ ,  $x < 0$ ,

The problem of computing the number of solutions of  $1 \leq x \leq 20$ , was posed to the authors and is described in [2], [3] which are based on differential equations, the solution of which is the formation concerning the function  $y(x)$ .

Tables of  $y(x)$  are given for  $1 \leq x \leq 5$  and of two or three orders of additional effort, more significant results are obtained.

## 2. Computational Procedure

Received February 19, 1961