Dear Dr. Sloane,

May be your attention has already been drawn upon one slight mistake in your "Handbook" : namely, your sequence 1170 should be canceled as identical to your sequence 1163, which solves the same problem. It was apparently considered distinct because of the fourth term : the correct value is 45, not 145, which seems to have been due to a misprint at some stage.

I would be happy if this can contribute to a minor improvement of your book, which is a valuable and almost daily tool for me.

Yours sincerely,

G. Kreweras