THE VANISHING OF RAMANUJAN’S FUNCTION \( \tau(n) \)

By D. H. Lehmer

The numerical function \( \tau(n) \) of Ramanujan defined by

\[
\prod_{l=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^l)^{24} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)x^{n-1}
\]

has been the subject of numerous investigations since Ramanujan in 1916 first discovered its remarkable properties (see [4; Chapters 9 and 10]). This function is generated by the 24-th and 8-th powers respectively of the lacunary power series of Euler and Jacobi

\[
\prod_{l=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^l) = \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^r x^{(3r^2 + r)/2},
\]

\[
\prod_{l=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^l)^3 = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} (-1)^x (2s + 1) x^{x^2 + 1/2},
\]

and it is natural to ask whether \( \tau(n) = 0 \) for any \( n > 0 \). The recent discovery of D. F. Ferguson (communicated by a letter of August 3, 1946) that the 53rd coefficient of the 15-th power of (2) is zero adds to the interest in the question of the possible vanishing of \( \tau(n) \). Tables of \( \tau(n) \) given in [6], which extend to \( n = 300 \) show no case of \( \tau(n) = 0 \). In this paper we show that \( \tau(n) \neq 0 \) for \( n < 3316799 \). Whether \( \tau(3316799) = 0 \) or not we cannot say. The methods of this paper would seem to be incapable of establishing that \( \tau(n) \) is never zero.

That such a simple question about a well-known function is apparently difficult to answer is due to the fact that no practicable formula for \( \tau(p) \) \( (p \text{ a prime}) \) has ever been discovered.

1. In our discussion we use the following formulas and congruence properties. The numbers in square brackets indicate items in the bibliography where the corresponding results are proved.

\[
\tau(m) \tau(n) = \tau(mn) \quad (m, n \text{ coprime}) \quad [7], [4]
\]

\[
\tau(p^n) = \tau(p) \tau(p^{n-1}) - p^{11} \tau(p^{n-2}) \quad (p \text{ a prime}) \quad [7], [4]
\]

\[
\tau(p^n) = p^{11/2} \csc \theta_p \sin (\alpha + 1) \theta_p \quad [7], [4]
\]

where \( 2 \cos \theta_p = \tau(p)p^{-11/2} \). If we use \( s_k(n) \) to denote the sum of the \( k \)-th powers of the divisors of \( n \), we have

\[
\tau(p) = A 76847
\]
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To this list of congruences we add the following theorem for the modulus 23.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( n \) be any integer and let \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_t \) be those prime factors (if any) of \( n \) which are not of the form \( u^2 + 23v^2 \), but are quadratic residues of 23. Define \( n_1 \) by

\[
n = n_1 \prod_{i=1}^{t} p_i^{\alpha_i},
\]

where \( \alpha_i \) is the exponent of the highest power of \( p_i \) dividing \( n \). Then

\[
\tau(n) \equiv \sigma_1(n_1) 2^3 3^{-1/2} \prod_{i=1}^{t} \sin \frac{2\pi}{3} (1 + \alpha_i) \quad \text{(mod 23)}.
\]

(A list of such primes \( p_i < 1000 \) will be found in [11], table II.) Theorem 1 is equivalent to a theorem given by Wilton [11], who proved it by ideal theory. An elementary proof is sketched in \( \S 2 \) of the present paper.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( n_0 \) be the least value of \( n \) for which \( \tau(n) = 0 \). Then \( n_0 \) is a prime.

**Proof.** It is clear that \( n_0 \) is a power of a prime, for otherwise we could break \( n_0 \) into the product of two relatively prime factors each less than \( n_0 \) and apply (3) to discover an even smaller value of \( n \) than \( n_0 \) for which \( \tau(n) = 0 \). Hence we may set \( n_0 = p^\alpha \) where \( p \) is a prime. We have to show that \( \alpha = 1 \). Assuming the contrary, namely \( \alpha > 1 \), so that \( \tau(p) \neq 0 \), we may write (5) in the form

\[
\tau(p^\alpha) = 0 = p^{1/2} \csc \theta_p \sin (\alpha + 1) \theta_p,
\]

This shows that \( \theta_p \) is a real number of the form

\[
\theta_p = \frac{\pi k}{(1 + \alpha)},
\]

where \( k \) is an integer. Now the number

\[
z = 2 \cos \theta_p = \tau(p)p^{-11/2},
\]

being twice the cosine of a rational multiple of \( 2\pi \), is an algebraic integer. On the other hand \( z \) is a root of the obviously irreducible quadratic

\[
p^{11}z^2 - \tau^2(p) = 0.
\]
Hence $p^{11}$ divides $\tau^2(p)$, or in other words $z^2$ is a positive non-square integer. By (11), $z^2 \leq 4$. Therefore $z^2 = 2$ or 3. In the former case (12) becomes

$$\tau^2(p) = 2p^{11}. \tag{13}$$

The right member is a square only if $p = 2$. Hence (13) becomes

$$\tau(2) = \pm 2^n.$$

Similarly if $z^2 = 3$,

$$\tau(3) = \pm 3^n.$$

Both these conditions are false since $\tau(2) = -24$, and $\tau(3) = 252$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

If in formulas (6) to (10) we set $n = p$, and suppose that $p$ is a prime greater than 7 for which $\tau(p) = 0$, we obtain the following congruential conditions on $p$:

\begin{align*}
0 & \equiv 1 + p^3 \pmod{32}, \\
0 & \equiv 1 + p \pmod{3}, \\
0 & \equiv p(1 + p^9) \pmod{25}, \\
0 & \equiv p(1 + p^9) \pmod{7}, \\
0 & \equiv 1 + p^{11} \pmod{691}.
\end{align*}

The first three conditions imply

$$p \equiv -1 \pmod{2400}.$$

and, since 11 is prime to 690,

$$p \equiv -1 \pmod{691}.$$

The congruence modulo 7 implies that $p$ is a quadratic non-residue of 7, that is

$$p \equiv 3, 5, 6 \pmod{7}.$$

Combining these conditions we find

$$p \equiv 3316799, 4975199, 11608799 \pmod{11608800}.$$

The following 5 numbers are the only numbers belonging to these forms under 20 millions:

$$3316799, 4975199, 11608799, 14925599, 16583999.$$

If we now apply Theorem 1 with $n$ a prime $p$ we find that $\tau(p)$ is divisible by 23 if and only if

$$1 + p^{11} = 0 \pmod{23}.$$
Hence $p$ is a quadratic non-residue of 23 and thus belongs to one of the forms

$$p = 23m + 5, 7, 10, 11, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20, 21 \text{ or } 22.$$  

Applying this to the above set of 5 numbers we exclude all but the first and last, but of these only $p = 3316799$ is a prime.

With the present state of our knowledge about the function $\tau(n)$ there seems to be no practical way of deciding whether $\tau(3316799)$ vanishes or not.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. This theorem is a simple consequence of (3), (4) and the following

**Lemma.** Let $p$ be any prime. Then $\tau(p)$ is congruent, modulo 23, to

- 0 if $p$ is a quadratic non-residue of 23,
- 1 if $p = 23$,
- 2 if $p$ is of the form $u^2 + 23v^2 (u \neq 0)$,
- $-1$ otherwise.

In fact it follows from (3) that

$$\tau(p^n) = \tau(p)\tau(p^{n-1}) - (p/23)\tau(p^{n-2}) \pmod{23}. \quad (\text{mod } 23)$$

In the four categories of $p$ mentioned in the lemma we have respectively

$$\tau(p^n) = \tau(p^{n-2}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + (-1)^n) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} (-1)^r = \sum_{r=0}^{n} p^{11r} = \sigma_1(p^n) \pmod{23}, \quad (\text{mod } 23),$$

$$\tau(p^n) = \tau(p)\tau(p^{n-1}) = 1 \equiv \sigma_{11}(p^n) \pmod{23}, \quad (\text{mod } 23),$$

$$\tau(p^n) = 2\tau(p^n) - \tau(p^{n-2}) = 1 + \alpha = \sum_{r=0}^{n} p^{11r} = \sigma_1(p^n) \pmod{23}, \quad (\text{mod } 23),$$

$$\tau(p^n) = -\tau(p^n) - \tau(p^{n-2}) = \frac{\sin (1 + \alpha)2\pi/3}{\sin 2\pi/3} \pmod{23}. \quad (\text{mod } 23).$$

By (3) it follows that if

$$n = n_1 \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} p_i^{a_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} q_i^{b_i} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} p_i^{a_i},$$

where $p_1, \ldots, p$, are primes of the fourth category, then

$$\tau(n) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \tau(q_i^{b_i}) \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \tau(p_i^{a_i})$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \sigma_1(q_i^{a_i})(\csc 2\pi/3)^{b_i} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \sin (1 + \alpha_i)2\pi/3$$

$$= \sigma_1(n_1)2^{3^{\ell}/2} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \sin (1 + \alpha_i)2\pi/3 \pmod{23}. \quad (\text{mod } 23).$$

It remains to prove the lemma.
A fairly straightforward proof of the lemma by elementary methods, though rather lengthy, may be made along the following lines.

The lemma may be verified for \( p = 2 \) and \( 3 \). Hence consider \( p = 6m \pm 1 \). Since

\[
(1 - x^n)^m = 1 - x^{2m} + 23 P,
\]

where \( P \) is a polynomial with integer coefficients it follows from (2) that

\[
\tau(p) \equiv \sum (-1)^{rs} \quad \text{(mod 23)}
\]

where the sum extends over all solutions \((r, s)\) of

\[
24p = (6r \pm 1)^2 + 23(6s \pm 1)^2.
\]

In case \( p \) is a quadratic non-residue of 23, (15) has no solutions and the sum in (14) is vacuous. Other primes \( p \) are representable by either of the forms

\[
x^2 + 23y^2, \quad 3x^2 + 2xy + 8y^2
\]

in essentially one way. In these respective cases (15) contributes two solutions with \( r + s \) even, and one solution with \( r + s \) odd. In the former case the two solutions are distinct except when \( p = 23 \).
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