

QAM

14

1956

(not 1957!)

|      |
|------|
| 114  |
| 245  |
| 405  |
| 344  |
| 588  |
| 1392 |
| 589  |
| 590  |

## A NEW METHOD OF INVERSION OF THE LAPLACE TRANSFORM\*

BY

ATHANASIOS PAPOULIS  
Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn

Introduction. In determining a function  $r(t)$  from its Laplace transform  $R(p)$

$$R(p) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-pt} r(t) dt$$

108

(1)

also A39599

one applies either a partial fraction expansion or an integration along some contour in the complex  $p$ -plane; one thus obtains  $r(t)$  in terms of the poles and residues of  $R(p)$ , or from the values of  $R(p)$  on a contour of the  $p$ -plane. Both methods have obvious disadvantages for a numerical analysis.

In the following we propose to develop a method for determining  $r(t)$  in terms of the values of  $R(p)$  on an infinite sequence of equidistant points

$$p_k = a + k\sigma \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n, \dots \quad (2)$$

on the real  $p$ -axis, where  $a$  is a real number in the region of existence of  $R(p)$ , and an arbitrary positive integer. That  $R(p)$  is uniquely determined from its values at the above points, is known [1]. It should therefore be possible to express  $r(t)$  directly in terms of  $R(a + k\sigma)$ . In this paper it will be shown that  $r(t)$  can be written in the form

$$r(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k \varphi_k(t), \quad (3)$$

where the  $\varphi_k$ 's are known functions, and the constants  $C_k$  can readily be determined from the values of  $R(p)$  at the points  $a + k\sigma$ .

The  $\varphi_k$ 's can be chosen from several sets of complete orthogonal functions; in our discussion we shall use the familiar trigonometric set, the Legendre set and the Laguerre polynomials.

**The trigonometric set.** We introduce the variable  $\theta$  defined by

$$e^{-\sigma t} = \cos \theta \quad \sigma > 0. \quad (4)$$

The  $(0, \infty)$  interval transforms into the interval  $(0, \pi/2)$ , and  $r(t)$  becomes

$$r\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma} \ln \cos \theta\right).$$

For simplicity of notation we shall denote the above function by  $r(\theta)$  using the same letter  $r$ .

The defining equation (1) takes the form

$$\sigma R(p) = \int_0^{\pi/2} (\cos \theta)^{(p/\sigma)-1} \sin \theta r(\theta) d\theta \quad (5)$$

\*Received January 6, 1956. Part of a paper presented at the Symposium on Modern Network Synthesis, Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, April 1955.

and in 590

hence with

$$p = (2k + 1)\sigma \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

we have

$$\sigma R[(2k + 1)\sigma] = \int_0^{\pi/2} (\cos \theta)^{2k} \sin \theta r(\theta) d\theta. \tag{6}$$

In the following we shall assume, without loss of generality, that  $r(0) = 0$  subtracting, if necessary, a constant from  $r(\theta)$ . The function  $r(\theta)$  can be expanded in the  $(0, \pi/2)$  interval into an odd-sine series

$$r(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k \sin (2k + 1)\theta. \tag{7}$$

This can of course be done by properly extending the definition of  $r(\theta)$  in the  $(-\pi, +\pi)$  interval.

We shall next determine the coefficients  $C_k$ . We have

$$(\cos \theta)^{2n} \sin \theta = \left( \frac{e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}}{2} \right)^{2n} \frac{e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}}{2j},$$

expanding in the right hand side and properly collecting terms we obtain

$$2^{2n} (\cos \theta)^{2n} \sin \theta = \sin (2n + 1)\theta + \dots + \left[ \binom{2n}{k} - \binom{2n}{k-1} \right] \sin [2(n-k) + 1]\theta + \dots + \left[ \binom{2n}{n} - \binom{2n}{n-1} \right] \sin \theta. \tag{8}$$

We next insert (7) and (8) into (6); because of the orthogonality of the odd sines in the  $(0, \pi/2)$  interval and since

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} [\sin (2n + 1)\theta]^2 d\theta = \frac{\pi}{4},$$

we have

$$\sigma R[(2n + 1)\sigma] = 2^{-2n} \frac{\pi}{4} \left\{ \left[ \binom{2n}{n} - \binom{2n}{n-1} \right] C_0 + \dots + \left[ \binom{2n}{k} - \binom{2n}{k-1} \right] C_{n-k} + \dots + C_n \right\}$$

hence with  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  we obtain the system

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4}{\pi} \sigma R(\sigma) &= C_0, \\ 2^2 \frac{4}{\pi} \sigma R(3\sigma) &= C_0 + C_1, \\ &\dots \dots \dots \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$$2^{2n} \frac{4}{\pi} \sigma R[(2n + 1)\sigma] = \left[ \binom{2n}{n} - \binom{2n}{n-1} \right] C_0 + \dots + \left[ \binom{2n}{k} - \binom{2n}{k-1} \right] C_{n-k} + \dots + C_n.$$

Thus  $R(\sigma)$  gives  $C_0$ ,  $R(3\sigma)$  give  $C_1$  and each value of  $R(p)$  at the points  $(2k + 1)\sigma$  together with the coefficients  $C_0, C_1, \dots, C_{k-1}$ , determines  $C_k$ . The system (9) can obviously be written in such a way as to give directly  $C_k$  in terms of  $R(\sigma), R(3\sigma), \dots$  alone, but not much is gained, since in a numerical evaluation of the  $C_k$ 's equation (9) can be used as easily. Table 1 gives the numerical values of the coefficients of the  $C_k$ 's in the right hand side of (9), for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, 10$ .

TABLE 1

| $n$ | $C_0$            | $C_1$ | $C_2$ | $C_3$ | $C_4$ | $C_5$ | $C_6$ | $C_7$ | $C_8$ | $C_9$ | $C_{10}$ |
|-----|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|
| 0   | 1                |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |          |
| 1   | 1                | 1     |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |          |
| 2   | 2                | 3     | 1     |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |          |
| 3   | 5                | 9     | 5     | 1     |       |       |       |       |       |       |          |
| 4   | <del>19</del> 14 | 28    | 20    | 7     | 1     |       |       |       |       |       |          |
| 5   | 42               | 90    | 75    | 35    | 9     | 1     |       |       |       |       |          |
| 6   | 132              | 297   | 275   | 154   | 54    | 11    | 1     |       |       |       |          |
| 7   | 429              | 1001  | 1001  | 637   | 273   | 77    | 13    | 1     |       |       |          |
| 8   | 1430             | 3432  | 3640  | 2548  | 1260  | 440   | 104   | 15    | 1     |       |          |
| 9   | 4862             | 11934 | 13260 | 9996  | 5508  | 2244  | 663   | 135   | 17    | 1     |          |
| 10  | 16796            | 41990 | 48450 | 38760 | 23256 | 10659 | 3705  | 950   | 170   | 19    | 1        |

$\Delta A39599$

*differences of bin coeffs*

~~Jan 08 1958~~ 245 344 588 1392 589 590

Thus a method of analysis has resulted which compares sometimes favorably with the known methods of numerical evaluation of  $r(t)$ . Indeed the computation of  $R((2k + 1)\sigma)$  presents no difficulty, and the  $C_k$ 's can be readily determined from (9); the trigonometric functions are available, hence  $r(\theta)$  can be computed with any desired accuracy from the series (7). In a numerical evaluation of  $r(\theta)$  one computes the finite sum

$$r_N(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^N C_k \sin(2k + 1)\theta \tag{10}$$

of the first  $N + 1$  terms of (7); as  $N$  tends to infinity  $r_N(\theta)$  tends to  $r(\theta)$ . The nature of the approximation is well known from the theory of Fourier series [2];  $r_N(\theta)$  and  $r(\theta)$  are related by the equation

$$r_N(\theta) = \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} r(y) \frac{\sin[\frac{1}{2}(4N + 3)(\theta - y)]}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\theta - y)} dy, \tag{11}$$

thus the approximating function  $r_N(\theta)$  is the average of  $r(\theta)$  with the Fourier kernel

$$\frac{\sin[\frac{1}{2}(4N + 3)(\theta - y)]}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\theta - y)}$$

as the weighting factor. From  $r(\theta)$  one can readily obtain  $r(t)$  with the change of variable established by (4); however, Eq. (7) can be written directly in the time domain. Indeed since

$$\frac{\sin n\theta}{\sin \theta} = U_n(x) \quad \cos \theta = x,$$

where  $U_n(x)$  are the Tchebycheff sine-polynomials of order  $n$  and

$$\sin \theta = (1 - e^{-2\sigma t})^{1/2}$$

we have from (7)

$$r(t) = (1 - e^{-2\sigma t})^{1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k U_{2k}(e^{-\sigma t}). \quad (12)$$

The choice of  $\sigma$  depends on the interval  $(0, T)$  in which  $r(t)$  is best to be described; if it is chosen so that

$$e^{-\sigma T} = \frac{1}{2}$$

then the  $(0, T)$  interval transforms into the  $(0, \pi/3)$  interval. If a detailed description of  $r(t)$  is desired both near the origin and for large values of  $t$ , then the function can be evaluated twice with two different values of  $\sigma$ .

The above provides a simple proof of the announced theorem that the Laplace transform  $R(p)$  is uniquely determined from its values at the sequence

$$p_k = a + k\sigma \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n, \dots \quad (2)$$

of equidistant points on the real  $p$ -axis. This proof uses the well-known orthogonality and completeness of the trigonometric set. Indeed  $r(\theta)$ , and hence  $r(t)$ , is completely determined from the coefficients  $C_k$  of (7); these coefficients can be determined from  $R(a + k\sigma)$ ; knowing  $r(t)$  one clearly has  $R(p)$  therefore  $R(p)$  is uniquely determined from its values at the points (2).

**The Legendre set.** We shall next expand  $r(t)$  into a series of Legendre polynomials. We introduce the logarithmic time-scale  $x$  defined by

$$e^{-\sigma t} = x \quad \sigma > 0. \quad (13)$$

The  $(0, \infty)$  interval transforms into the interval  $(1, 0)$ : again we shall denote the function

$$r\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma} \ln x\right)$$

by  $r(x)$ . Equation (1) takes the form

$$\sigma R(p) = \int_0^1 x^{(p/\sigma)-1} r(x) dx \quad (14)$$

from which we obtain with  $p = (2k + 1)\sigma$ ,

$$\sigma R[(2k + 1)\sigma] = \int_0^1 x^{2k} r(x) dx. \quad (15)$$

Thus the value of the function  $R(p)$  at the point  $[(2k + 1)\sigma]$  gives the  $2k$ th moment of the function  $r(x)$  in the  $(0, 1)$  interval

It is known that the Legendre polynomials  $P_k(x)$  form a complete orthogonal set in the  $(-1, 1)$  interval; We extend the definition of  $r(x)$  in the  $(-1, 1)$  interval by making

$$r(-x) = r(x).$$