# PROOF OF CONJECTURE IN A209260 

## L. E. JEFFERY

The purpose of this note is to prove a conjecture stated by the author in Sloane's sequence A209260 [5] in The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [2]. The conjecture is restated below as Proposition 1, after some preliminaries.

Let $\mathbb{N}=\{1,2, \ldots\}$ be the set of natural numbers. For $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, let $T(r)$ denote the $r$-th triangular number defined by $T(r)=\frac{r(r+1)}{2}$. The triangular numbers are the sequence $\{0,1,3,6,10,15, \ldots\}$ (Sloane's A000217 [3]).
Definition 1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $S_{n}$ be the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{n} & =\{\text { union of the first } n \text { rows of A209260 }\} \\
& =\bigcup_{\substack{m \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \\
k \in\{1, \ldots, m\}}} \operatorname{A141419}(m, k)
\end{aligned}
$$

of all entries in the first n rows of Sloane's sequence A141419 [4], with the entry in row $m$ and column $k$ defined by $\operatorname{A141419}(m, k)=T(m)-T(m-k)$.

The following lemma, conjectured by the author, is stated here separately since proof is based on one given by Charles R. Greathouse IV in [1].

Lemma 1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $2 n+1$ is composite, and $d$ and $h$ are positive integers such that $2 n+1=d h$, with $1<d<2 n+1$, then $d+\frac{h-1}{2} \leq n$.

Proof. Suppose that $2 n+1$ is composite, and $d$ and $h$ are positive integers such that $2 n+1=d h$, with $1<d<2 n+1$. Clearly $\frac{h-1}{2} \leq \frac{n-1}{3}$, since $d \geq 3$. Therefore $d+\frac{h-1}{2} \leq \sqrt{2 n+1}+\frac{n-1}{3} \leq n$, as required.
Proposition 1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A necessary and sufficient condition for $2 n+1 \in S_{n}$ is that $2 n+1$ be composite.

Proof. Suppose that $2 n+1 \in S_{n}$. Then $2 n+1=T(m)-T(m-k)$, by the definition of $S_{n}$, or what is the same thing,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 n+1=k\left(m-\frac{k-1}{2}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $m \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $k \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$. If $k=1$, then $2 n+1=m<2 n+1$, a contradiction. Similarly, if $k=2$, then $2 n+1=2 m-1<2 n+1$, a contradiction. Hence $k \geq 3$, and we note that $k$ cannot be a power of 2 , since $2 n+1$ is odd. Now in (1), if $k$ is odd, then $m-\frac{k-1}{2}$ is a positive integer and necessarily odd. On the other hand, if $k$ is even, then $k=2^{u}(2 v+1)$, say, for some $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$. However, only $u=1$ is possible since, for $u \geq 2$, the right side of (1) would be even, contradicting the fact that the left side is odd. Therefore, if $k$ is even, then $k=2(2 v+1)$, so that $2 n+1=(2 v+1)(2 m-k+1)$ in which $2 m-k+1$ is positive and necessarily odd.

[^0]In either case, $k$ even or odd, it follows that $2 n+1$ must be composite. ${ }^{1}$ Therefore it is a necessary condition that $2 n+1$ be composite.

Conversely, suppose that $2 n+1$ is composite. Then there are positive integers $d$ and $h$ such that $3 \leq d \leq h<2 n+1, d$ divides $2 n+1$, and $2 n+1=d h$. We have that $1<h-\frac{d-1}{2}<h+\frac{d-1}{2}$, and $2 n+1$ has representation as a sum of $d$ consecutive positive integers of the form

$$
2 n+1=d h=\sum_{j=-\frac{d-1}{2}}^{\frac{d-1}{2}}(h+j),
$$

or equivalently, letting $M=h+\frac{d-1}{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 n+1 & =\sum_{a=0}^{d-1}(M-a) \\
& =M+(M-1)+\cdots+(M-d+1) \\
& =M d-\frac{d(d-1)}{2} \\
& =\frac{M(M+1)}{2}-\frac{M(M+1)}{2}+M d-\frac{d(d-1)}{2} \\
& =\frac{M(M+1)}{2}-\frac{M(M+1)-2 M d+d(d-1)}{2} \\
& =\frac{M(M+1)}{2}-\frac{(M-d)(M-d+1)}{2} \\
& =T(M)-T(M-d) \\
& =\mathrm{A} 141419(M, d) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $M=h+\frac{d-1}{2} \leq n$, by Lemma 1 , we conclude that $2 n+1 \in S_{n}$. Therefore it is a sufficient condition that $2 n+1$ be composite. This completes the proof.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Alternatively, since $k \notin\{1,2\}$ in the above argument, we note simply that $2 n+1$ cannot be a prime and $\in S_{n}$ simultaneously and so must be composite.

