# 6a is A7260 plus many more Completely Replicable Functions

D. ALEXANDER, C. CUMMINS, J. McKay, & C. SIMONS

**Abstract.** We find all completely replicable functions with integer coefficients, tabulate the new ones, and summarize the computations needed.

Monstrous moonshine. To each conjugacy class of cyclic subgroups,  $\langle m \rangle$ , of the Monster simple group, M, a modular function,  $j_{\langle m \rangle}(z)$ , was found empirically in [CN] for which the q-coefficients (Fourier coefficients) are the values of the trace in the so-called head representations. For the identity subgroup the function is the elliptic modular function J(z) = j(z) - 744. Here, and throughout, the computations are simplest to describe if we assume all our q-series to have constant term zero.

**Replication.** Replication enables us to associate with a formal q-series

$$f = \sum_{i=-1}^{\infty} a_i q^i, \quad a_{-1} = 1, \quad a_0 = 0, \tag{1}$$

 $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ , certain functions of the same form, called the replicates of f. Although f is a formal q-series, it is useful to write f = f(z), where  $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ , consistent with the properties of modular functions. We tacitly omit describing the Galois action [N], which is trivial when the q-series coefficients are rational integers.

The prototypical replication relation is that between the monstrous moonshine function  $j_{\langle m \rangle}(z)$  for  $\langle m \rangle \subset M$  and its  $p^{\text{th}}$  replicate  $j_{\langle m^p \rangle}(z)$  for  $\langle m^p \rangle$ . Conway and Norton [CN] note that monstrous moonshine functions satisfy identities involving f and its replicates which they call replication formulae. A replicable function is a function with a q-expansion of the form (1) for which replicates exist. Such functions also satisfy the replication formulae.

Norton [N] has conjectured that a function  $q^{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i q^i$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbf{Z}, i \geq 1$ , is replicable if and only if either  $a_i = 0$  for all i > 1 or it is the canonical Hauptmodul for a group of genus zero, containing  $\Gamma_0(N)$  for some N and containing  $z \to z + k$  precisely when k is an integer.

Partially supported by NSERC and FCAR grants.

**Hecke Operators.** Motivation for introducing the twisted Hecke operator  $\hat{T}_n$  derives from the action of the standard Hecke operator,  $T_n$ , on J(z) = j(z) - 744, given by

$$J|T_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{ad=n\\0 \le b \le d}} J((az+b)/d) = P_n(J(z))/n$$
 (2)

which value is a polynomial in J since the sum is invariant under the modular group and J is a Hauptmodul holomorphic in the upper half-plane. Note that  $P_n$  is the unique polynomial such that  $P_n(J(z))$  has a q-expansion  $q^{-n}$  mod  $q\mathbf{Z}[q]$ .

We introduce a twisted Hecke operator  $\widehat{T}_n$  which, like  $T_n$ , acts linearly on q-coefficients yet takes certain functions f(z) to  $P_n(f(z))/n$ .

More precisely, we call a function f replicable if there are replicate functions  $\{f^{(a)}\}$  such that

$$P_n(f(z))/n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{ad=n\\0 \le b < d}} f^{(a)}((az+b)/d), \tag{3}$$

with  $P_n(f(z)) = q^{-n} \mod q \mathbb{Z}[q]$ , and we define  $f|\widehat{T}_n$  to be the right side of (3).

The monic polynomial  $P_n(t) \in \mathbf{Z}[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}][t]$  is unique and we shall abuse notation by using  $P_n$  to denote the polynomial in each case. This definition of  $\widehat{T}_n$  is provisional since we have not yet incorporated the Galois action.

Note that J(z) of level N=1 is the sole normalized modular function on which the Hecke operators  $T_n$  act as in (2) for all n, since (N,n)=1 for all n. Replicable functions are defined so as to share this property under the action of the twisted Hecke operator  $\widehat{T}_n$ . In this case, however, the sum involves both f and its replicates.

From Norton [N] it follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{P_n(t)}{n} q^n = -\ln(q(f(z) - t)), \tag{4}$$

and so  $P_1(t) = t$ ,  $P_2(t) = t^2 - 2a_1$ ,  $P_3(t) = t^3 - 3a_1t - 3a_2$ , .... We define coefficients  $\{H_{m,n}\}$  by

$$f|\widehat{T}_n = \frac{1}{n}P_n(f(z)) = \frac{1}{n}q^{-n} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} H_{m,n}q^m, \qquad n \ge 1,$$
 (5)

so that  $H_{m,n}$  is the coefficient of  $q^m$  in  $f|\widehat{T}_n$  and  $H_{m,1}$  is the coefficient of  $q^m$  in f (denoted  $H_m$  by Norton).

We find that  $P_n(t)$  satisfies the recurrence relations:

$$P_0(t) = 1$$
,  $ra_{r-1} + \sum_{k=-1}^{r-2} a_k P_{r-k-1}(t) = t P_{r-1}(t)$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots$  (6)

while  $\widehat{H}_{r,s} = (r+s)H_{r,s}$  satisfies

$$\widehat{H}_{r,s} = (r+s)H_{r+s-1} + \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} \sum_{n=1}^{s-1} H_{m+n-1}\widehat{H}_{r-m,s-n}.$$
 (7)

Norton has another definition of replicability that is somewhat easier to use in practice.

A function f is replicable if  $H_{m,n} = H_{r,s}$  whenever mn = rs and gcd(m,n) = gcd(r,s).

This is equivalent to the definition given above: assume f is replicable in Norton's sense, then set

$$f^{(k)}(z) = \sum_{i=-1}^{\infty} a_i^{(k)} q^i$$
 (8)

where

$$a_i^{(k)} = k \sum_{d|k} \mu(d) H_{\frac{k}{d}, dki}, i > 0, a_{-1}^{(k)} = 1, a_0^{(k)} = 0$$
 (9)

and  $\mu$  is the Möbius function. It follows that  $f^{(1)} = f$ . For any pair  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$ , we find, by Möbius inversion, that

$$H_{r,rs} = \sum_{d|r} \frac{1}{d} a_{r^2s/d^2}^{(d)} \tag{10}$$

and, since f is replicable under Norton's definition, this implies that

$$H_{m,n} = \sum_{d|(m,n)} \frac{1}{d} a_{mn/d^2}^{(d)} \tag{11}$$

which, from (5), gives (compare Serre [S, Chap.VII, §5.3])

$$f|\widehat{T}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{ad=n\\0 \le b < d}} f^{(a)}((az+b)/d).$$
 (12)

Conversely if f has replicates which satisfy (12) it follows that the  $H_{m,n}$  of (5) satisfy (11) and so f is replicable as defined by Norton.

When n = p, a prime, we see that

$$pf|\widehat{T}_p = f^{(p)}(pz) + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} f((z+k)/p).$$
 (13)

In terms of the standard operators  $U_p$  and  $V_p$  where

$$U_p: a_n q^n \to a_{pn} q^n,$$
  
$$V_p: a_n q^n \to a_n q^{pn},$$

we have

$$pf|\widehat{T}_{p} = f|(\Psi^{p}V_{p} + pU_{p}) = P_{p}(f(z))$$

$$\tag{14}$$

where  $\Psi^p$  acts as an Adams operator (see Mason [Mas]); equivalently we may compute  $f^{(p)}$  from

$$f^{(p)}(pz) = P_p(f(z)) - pf|U_p.$$
(15)

Complete replicability. A function is completely replicable if it and all its replicates are replicable. One would expect properties of the monstrous moonshine functions to be shared by the completely replicable functions (and they are). At the end we tabulate all non-monstrous completely replicable functions with rational integer coefficients. Complementary monstrous data are found in [CN] and [MS].

Method of Calculation. To find all completely replicable functions, we computed the larger class of all completely 2-replicable functions. These are functions whose iterated duplicates are replicable. Table 1 of [N] contains a list of all completely 2-replicable functions satisfying  $f^{(2)} = f$ . We call g a replication  $p^{\text{th}}$  root of f if  $g^{(p)} = f$ . With a small prime  $\pi$ , the replication square roots of these functions are found by first testing all choices of  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  and  $a_5 \mod 2\pi$  for replicability using replication identities and identities derived from them (see [CN]). Solutions are then lifted by  $\pi$ -adic approximation using identities up to  $H_{145} = H_{5,29}$  so that the solutions found mod  $2\pi$ , for some prime  $\pi$ , lift uniquely to  $2\pi^k, k > 1$ .

These calculations require further coefficients which are computed from  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_5$  and the coefficients of  $f^{(2)}$  via the generalized Mahler recurrence relations [Mah] (compare [B]) derived from:

$$f(\gamma_0 z) + f(\gamma_1 z) + f^{(2)}(\gamma_2 z) = f(z)^2 - 2a_1,$$
(16)

$$(f(\gamma_1 z) + f(\gamma_0 z))f^{(2)}(\gamma_2 z) + f(\gamma_0 z)f(\gamma_1 z) = 2a_2 f - f^{(2)} + 2(a_4 - a_1)$$

where

$$\gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

namely, for  $k \geq 1$ :

$$a_{4k} = a_{2k+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_j a_{2k-j} + \frac{1}{2} (a_k^2 - a_k^{(2)}),$$

$$a_{4k+1} = a_{2k+3} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_j a_{2k+2-j} + \frac{1}{2} (a_{k+1}^2 - a_{k+1}^{(2)}) + \frac{1}{2} (a_{2k}^2 + a_{2k}^{(2)})$$

$$- a_2 a_{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_j^{(2)} a_{4k-4j} + \sum_{j=1}^{2k-1} (-1)^j a_j a_{4k-j},$$

$$a_{4k+2} = a_{2k+2} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_j a_{2k+1-j}, \text{ and}$$

$$a_{4k+3} = a_{2k+4} + \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} a_j a_{2k+3-j} - \frac{1}{2} (a_{2k+1}^2 - a_{2k+1}^{(2)})$$

$$- a_2 a_{2k+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_j^{(2)} a_{4k+2-4j} + \sum_{j=1}^{2k} (-1)^j a_j a_{4k+2-j}.$$

$$(17)$$

Replication square roots are repeatedly extracted until functions which have no replication square roots mod  $2\pi$  are found. In addition the prime power maps are calculated. In each case enough coefficients of the  $p^{\text{th}}$  replicates of the non-monstrous functions are computed from (15) to reduce the number of candidate functions to at most one. A useful check is given by the congruence:

$$f^{(p)} \equiv f \pmod{p}.$$

Programs in Ford's language ALGEB [F] were written from procedures generated by Maple [M]. For the functions  $q^{-1}$  and  $q^{-1} + q$  we found no prime for which the solutions mod  $2\pi$  lifted uniquely to  $2\pi^k$ , k > 1. The function  $q^{-1} - q$  is a root of  $q^{-1} + q$  and we have assumed that no other roots of these functions exist. The recursive relations given here, together with the monstrous data in [CN] or [MS], determine the q-series.

Table. The table contains the initial coefficients  $a_1, a_2, a_3$ , and  $a_5$  of 157 non-monstrous, completely replicable functions, which we believe to be the complete set. Each function is described by a number which is its "replication level", together with a small letter identifier; the prime power-maps follow. Capital letter identifiers indicate monstrous functions, for which

ATLAS notation is used as in [CN]. The ghosts [CN] 25Z, 49Z, and 50Z appear here as 25a, 49a, and 50a.

# Non-monstrous completely replicable functions

	f	Po	ower maps	$a_1$	$a_2$	$a_3$	$a_5$
of the control of the	1a			1	0	o	o
Name of the first search of the search of th	1b			0	0	0	0
	2a	1 <b>A</b>		-492	0	-22590	-367400
2a is A7242	<b>2</b> b	1a		-1	0	0	0
	4a	2A		-76	0	-702	-5224
4a is A7250	5a	1 <b>A</b>		-6	20	15	0
C- :- A72C0	ва	3A	2a	-33	0	-153	-713
6a is A7260	вb	3A	2a	21	0	171	745
plus many more	вс	3B	2a	-6	0	9	16
plas many more	6d	3C	2A	16	-8	0	28
	8a	4A		-20	0	-62	-216
	8b	4B		8	0	-6	48
	8c	4B		-8	0	-6	-48
	9a	3A		0	14	0	65
	9b	3A		9	-4	0	2
	9c	3B		0	-4	0	2
	9d	3C		-3	2	0	5
	10a	5A	2a	8	0	35	100
	10b	Бa	2A	2	-4	7	0
	10c	5a	2a	-2	0	-5	0
	12a	6A	4B	-11	0	-21	-55
	12b	6A	4a	5	0	27	41
	12c	6C	4C	5	0	-5	9
	12d	6C	4D	-3	0	8	-7
	12e	6d	4B	4	0	0	-4
	12f	6d	4a	-4	0	0	-4
	14a	7A	2a	-9	0	-15	-33
	14b	7B	2a	-2	0	-1	2
	14c	7A	2a	5	0	13	37
	15a	5A	3C	5	-2	0	-1
	15b	5a 8B	3A	3	2	-3	0
	16a			0 4	0	6	0
	16b	8B			0	-2	8
	16c 16d	8B 8D		-4 0	0	-2 -2	-8 0
		8C		2	0		4
	16e 16f	8C		-2	0	-2 -2	-4
	16g	8b		2	0	2	-4
	16h	8b	e A	-2	0	2	4
	18a	9b	6A	1	4	0	10
	18b	9a	6b	0	0	0	7
	18c	9A	6c	8	0	9	16

**6B** 

10

18d

9с

18e	9a	6C		0	-2	0	1
18f	9b	ва		-3	0	0	-2
18g	9b	6b		3	0	0	-2
18h	9a	6 <b>A</b>		4	-2	0	1
18i	9c	вc		0	0	0	-2
18j	9d	6d		1	-2	0	1
20a	10A	<b>4a</b>		-6	0	-7	-14
<b>2</b> 0b	10A	<b>4</b> a		4	0	3	16
20c	10C	<b>4a</b>		-1	0	-2	1
20d	10 <b>B</b>	4C		0	0	3	-4
20e	10b	<b>4B</b>		2	0	-1	0
22a	11A	2a		3	0	4	11
24a	12A	8a		-5	0	-5	-9
<b>24</b> b	12A	8a		1	0	7	9
24c	12B	8a		-2	0	1	0
24d	12C	8b		-1	0	3	3
24e	12C	8c		1	0	3	-3
24f	12C	8 <b>C</b>		-3	0	-1	-3
24g	12C	8C		3	0	-1	3
<b>24</b> h	12E	8E		1	0	-1	1
<b>24</b> i	12e	8b		2	0	0	0
24j	12e	8c		-2	0	0	0
25a	5B			-1	0	0	0
26a	13A	2a		2	0	4	6
27a	9A			3	-1	0	-1
<b>27</b> b	9A			0	2	0	5
27c	9 <b>B</b>			0	-1	0	-1
27d	9b			0	2	0	-1
27e	9b			0	-1	0	2
28a	14A	4a		1	0	5	5
30a	15A	10a	6b	-4	0	-4	-5
30Ъ	15a	10A	6d	1	2	0	3
30c	15B	10a	вс	-1	0	-1	1
30d	15A	10a	вa	2	0	2	7
30e	15b	10b	6A	-1	2	1	0
30f	15b	10c	6b	1	0	1	0
32a	16a			0	0	0	0
32b	16A			0	0	2	0
32c	16b			2	0	0	0
32d	16b			-2	0	0	0
32e	16d			0	0	0	0
34a	17A	2a		1	0	3	4
35a	7A	5a		1	-1	1	0
36a	18a	12b		-1	0	0	2
36b	18e	12A		0	2	0	1
36c	18h	12b		2	0	0	-1
36d	18a	12C		1	0	0	2
36e	18e	12d		0	0	0	-1
36f	18C	12I		-1	0	1	0
36g	18d	12F		0	0	0	2
36h	18h	12a		- <b>2</b>	0	0	-1
				_	•	•	-

36i	18c	12f		-1	0	0	-1
38a	19A	2a		2	0	1	3
40a	20A	8a		0	0	3	4
40b	20B	8b		-2	0	-1	-2
<b>40c</b>	20B	8c		2	0	-1	2
40d	20D	8 <b>F</b>		0	0	-1	0
40e	20e	8C		0	0	1	0
42a	21 A	14a	<b>6</b> b	0	0	3	3
42b	21 A	14c	6a	2	0	1	1
<b>42</b> c	21C	14A	6d	2	-1	0	0
<b>42</b> d	21D	14b	6c	1	0	2	2
44a	22A	4B		-3	0	-2	-3
<b>44</b> b	22B	4D		-1	0	0	-1
44c	22A	<b>4</b> a		1	0	2	1
45a	15A	9b		-1	1	0	2
45b	15C	9c		0	1	0	2
45c	15b	9a		0	-1	0	0
48a	24A	16b		1	0	1	-1
48b	24A	16c		-1	0	1	1
48c	24g	16e		-1	0	1	1
48d	24g	16f		1	0	1	-1
48e	24d	16g		-1	0	-1	-1
48f	<b>24</b> d	16h		1	0	-1	1
48g	24E	16a		0	0	0	0
48h	24H	16d		0	0	1	0
49a	7B			2	1	2	4
50a	25a	10E		1	2	2	4
52a	26A	4a		2	0	0	2
54a	27a	18B		1	1	0	1
54b	27c	18E		0	1	0	1
54c	27b	18c		0	0	0	1
54d	27c	18g		0	0	0	1
56a	28B	8a		1	0	1	1
56b	28A	8b		1	0	1	-1
56c	28A	8c		-1	0	1	1
58a	29A	2a		1	0	1	1
60a	30C	20d	12c	0	0	0	-1
60b	30B	20a	12b	0	0	2	1
60c	30b	20B	12e	-1	0	0	1
60d	30e	20e	12a	-1	0	-1	0
60e	30b	20b	12f	1	0	0	1
63a	21A	9a		0	0	0	2
64a	32b			0	0	0	0
66a	33B	22a	6a	0	0	1	2
70a	35A	14a	10a	1	0	0	2
72a	36A	24c		1	0	1	0
72b	36b	24A		0	0	0	1
72c	36d	24d		-1	0	0	0
72d	36d	24e		1	0	0	0
72e	36g	24F		0	0	0	0
76a	38A	4a		0	0	1	1

80a	40B	16a		0	0	1	0
82a	41A	2a		0	0	1	1
84a	42A	28a	12b	-2	0	-1	-1
90a	45a	30B	18a	1	-1	0	0
90b	45b	30A	18d	0	-1	0	0
96a	48g	32a		0	0	0	0
102a	51A	34a	ва	1	0	0	1
117a	39A	9a		0	1	0	0
120a	60B	40a	24a	0	0	0	1
126a	63a	42a	18b	0	0	0	0
132a	66A	44a	12a	0	0	1	0
140a	70A	28a	20a	1	0	0	0

We correct an error in [MS]: On page 265 class 29Z should read 25Z and signs should be inserted compatible with its sign pattern.

#### REFERENCES

- [B] R.E. Borcherds, Monstrous moonshine and monstrous Lie superalgebras, preprint (1989).
- [CN] J.H. Conway & S.P. Norton, Monstrous Moonshine, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 11 (1979), 308-339.
- [F] D.J. Ford, "On the Computation of the Maximal Order in a Dedekind Domain," Ph. D. Dissertation, Ohio State University, 1978.
- [M] B.W. Char, K.O. Geddes, Gaston H. Gonnet, M.B. Monagan and S.M. Watt, "The Maple Reference Manual (5th edition)," Watcom, Waterloo, 1988.
- [Mah] K. Mahler, On a class of non-linear functional equations connected with modular functions, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 22A (1976), 65-118.
- [Mas] G. Mason, Finite groups and Hecke operators, Math. Ann. 283 (1989), 381-409.
- [MS] J. McKay and H. Strauß, The q-series of monstrous moonshine & the decomposition of the head characters, Comm. in Alg. 18 (1990), 253-278.
- [N] S.P. Norton, More on Moonshine, in "Computational Group Theory," edited by M. D. Atkinson, Academic Press, 1984, pp. 185-193.
- [S] J-P. Serre, "A Course in Arithmetic," Springer-Verlag, 1973.

## **Appendix**

## The Monster & Moonshine — A Bibliography

- [AS] Akbas, M. & Singerman, D. The normalizer of  $\Gamma_0(N)$  in PSL(2, R), Glasgow Math. J., 32, (1990), 317-327.
- [B1] Borcherds, R. Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, & the Monster. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 83, (1986), 3068-3071.
- [B2] Borcherds, R. Generalized Kac-Moody algebras. J. Alg., 115, (1988), 501-512.
- [C1] Conway, J.H. Monsters and Moonshine. Math. Intelligencer, 2, (1980), 164-171.
- [C2] Conway, J.H. A simple construction for the Fischer-Griess Monster group. Inv. Math., (1985), 513-540.
- [CN] Conway, J.H. & Norton, S.P. Monstrous Moonshine. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.,11, (1979), 308-339.
- [DVVV] Dijkgraaf, R., Vafa, C., Verlinde, E. & Verlinde, H. The Operator Algebra and Orbifold Models, Comm. Math. Physics, 123, (1989), 485-526.
  - [DGH] Dixon, L., Ginsparg, P., Harvey, J., Beauty and the Beast: Superconformal symmetry in a Monster module. Comm. Math. Phys. (1988), 119.
- [DGM1] Dolan, L., Goddard, P., and Montague, P., Conformal Field Theory of Twisted Vertex Operators. Nuclear Physics, B338, (1990), 529-601.
- [DGM2] Dolan, L., Goddard, P., and Montague, P., Conformal Field Theory, Triality, and the Monster Group. Physics Letters, B236, (1990), 165-172.
  - [DKM] Dummit, D., Kisilevsky, H., McKay, J. Multiplicative products ofηfunctions. Contemp. Math. 45, (1985), 89-98.
    - [F] Fong, P. Characters arising in the monster-modular connection. A.M.S. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 37, (1979), 557-559.
    - [FV] Freed, D. & Vafa, C. Global anomalies on orbifolds, Comm. Math. Phys. 110, (1987), 349-389.
  - [FLM1] Frenkel, I., Lepowsky, J., Meurman, A. An E<sub>8</sub> approach to the Monster. Contemp. Math. 45, (1985), 99-120.
  - [FLM2] Frenkel, I., Lepowsky, J., Meurman, A. Vertex operator algebras& the Monster. Academic Press.(1989).
    - [G] Griess, R.L. The Friendly Giant. Inv. Math. 69, (1982), 1-102.
    - [HL1] Harada, K., Lang, M.-L. On a question of Conway-Norton, J. Alg. 125,(1989), 298-310.
    - [HL2] Harada, K., Lang, M.-L. On some sublattices of the Leech lattice, Hokkaido Math. J. 19, (1990), 435-446.
    - [Koi1] Koike, M. On McKay's conjecture. Nagoya J. Math. 95, (1984), 85-89.

- [Koi2] Koike, M. The Mathieu group  $M_{24}$  and modular forms. Nagoya J. Math. 99, (1985), 147-157.
- [Koi3] Koike, M. Moonshines of  $PSL_2(F_q)$  and the automorphism group of the Leech lattice. Japanese J. Math. 12, (1986), 283-323.
- [Koi4] Koike, M. Moonshine for  $PSL_2(F_7)$ . In Automorphic forms and number theory (Sendai 1983), Adv. Studies in Pure Math., 7, North-Holland (1985), 103-111.
- [Koi5] Koike, M. Modular forms and the automorphism group of the Leech lattice. Nagoya Math.J. 112, (1988), 63-79.
- [Kon1] Kondo, T. Examples of multiplicative η-quotients. Sci. Papers College Arts-Sci. Univ. Tokyo 35, (1986), 133-149.
- [Kon2] Kondo, T. The automorphism group of the Leech lattice and elliptic modular functions. J.Math.Soc.Japan 37, (1985), 337-362.
- [KonT] Kondo, T., Tasaka T. The theta functions of the Leech lattice. Nagoya-Math.J. 101, (1986), 151-179.
  - [L] Lang, M.-L. On a question raised by Conway-Norton. J. Math. Soc. of Japan 41, (1989), 263-284.
  - [M1] Mahler, K. On a class of non-linear functional equations connected with modular functions. J. Austral. Math. Soc. 22A, (1976), 65-118.
  - [M2] Mahler, K. On a special nonlinear functional equation. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 378, (1981), 155-178.
  - [M3] Mahler, K. On the analytic solution of certain functional and difference equations. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 389, (1983), 1-13.
  - [Ma1] Mason, G.  $M_{24}$  and certain automorphic forms. Contemp. Math. 45, (1985), 223-244.
  - [Ma2] Mason, G. Finite Groups and Modular Functions. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 47, (1987), 181-210. (Norton, S.P. Appendix: Generalized Moonshine).
  - [Ma3] Mason, G. Groups, discriminants, and the spinor norm.Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 21, (1989), 51-56.
  - [Ma4] Mason, G. Frame-shapes and rational characters of finite groups. J. Alg. 89 (1984), 237-246.
  - [Ma5] Mason, G. Elliptic systems and the  $\eta$ -function. (to appear).
  - [Ma6] Mason, G. Finite Groups and Hecke Operators. Math. Ann. 283, (1989), 381-409.
  - [Ma7] Mason, G. On a system of elliptic modular forms attached to the large Mathieu group, Nagoya J. Math. 118, (1990), 175-195.
- [McK] McKay, J. Graphs, singularities, and finite groups. In Santa Cruz conference on finite groups, A.M.S. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 37, (1979), 183-186.
- [Nahm] Nahm, W. Quantum field theories in one and two dimensions. Duke.J. Math. 54, (1987), 579 613.

- [MN] Meyer, W., & Neutsch, W. Associative subalgebras of the Griess algebra. (1990) (to appear).
  - [N] Norton, S.P. More on Moonshine. In "Computational Group Theory" ed. Atkinson, M.D. Academic Press (1984), 185-193.
  - [O] Ogg, A.P. Modular functions, A.M.S. Proc. Symp. Pure. Math. 37, (1979), 521-532.
  - [Q1] Queen, L. Modular functions and finite simple groups, A.M.S. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 37, (1979), 561-570.
  - [Q2] Queen, L. Some relations between finite groups, Lie groups and modular functions, Ph.D. thesis, Cambridge (1980).
  - [Q3] Queen, L. Modular functions arising from some finite groups, Math. Comp. 37, (1981), 547-580.
    - [S] Smit, J-D. Quantum Groups, Algebraic Geometry and Conformal Field theory, Ph.D. Thesis, Utrecht (1989).
- [Th1] Thompson, J.G. Some numerology between the Fischer-Griess monster and the elliptic modular function. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 11, (1979), 352 353.
- [Th2] Thompson, J.G. A finiteness theorem for subgroups of  $PSL_2(R)$  commensurable with  $PSL_2(Z)$ . A.M.S. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 37, (1979), 533-555.
- [Ti1] Tits, J. Le Monstre. Seminaire Bourbaki 620, (1983/84). In Asterisque vol. 121-122, 105-122.