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#### Abstract

We find all completely replicable functions with integer coefficients, tabulate the new ones, and summarize the computations needed.


Monstrous moonshine. To each conjugacy class of cyclic subgroups, $\langle m\rangle$, of the Monster simple group, $M$, a modular function, $j_{\langle m\rangle}(z)$, was found empirically in [CN] for which the $q$-coefficients (Fourier coefficients) are the values of the trace in the so-called head representations. For the identity subgroup the function is the elliptic modular function $J(z)=j(z)-744$. Here, and throughout, the computations are simplest to describe if we assume all our $q$-series to have constant term zero.

Replication. Replication enables us to associate with a formal $q$-series

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{i=-1}^{\infty} a_{i} q^{i}, \quad a_{-1}=1, \quad a_{0}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$a_{i} \in \mathbf{C}$, certain functions of the same form, called the replicates of $f$. Although $f$ is a formal $q$-series, it is useful to write $f=f(z)$, where $q=e^{2 \pi i z}$, consistent with the properties of modular functions. We tacitly omit describing the Galois action [ N ], which is trivial when the $q$-series coefficients are rational integers.
The prototypical replication relation is that between the monstrous moonshine function $j_{\langle m\rangle}(z)$ for $\langle m\rangle \subset M$ and its $p^{\text {th }}$ replicate $j_{\langle m p\rangle}(z)$ for $\left\langle m^{p}\right\rangle$. Conway and Norton [CN] note that monstrous moonshine functions satisfy identities involving $f$ and its replicates which they call replication formulae. A replicable function is a function with a $q$-expansion of the form (1) for which replicates exist. Such functions also satisfy the replication formulae.
Norton $[\mathbf{N}]$ has conjectured that a function $q^{-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} q^{i}, a_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}, i \geq 1$, is replicable if and only if either $a_{i}=0$ for all $i>1$ or it is the canonical Hauptmodul for a group of genus zero, containing $\Gamma_{0}(N)$ for some $N$ and containing $z \rightarrow z+k$ precisely when $k$ is an integer.

[^0]Hecke Operators. Motivation for introducing the twisted Hecke operator $\widehat{T}_{n}$ derives from the action of the standard Hecke operator, $T_{n}$, on $J(z)=$ $j(z)-744$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J \left\lvert\, T_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{a d=n \\ 0 \leq b<d}} J((a z+b) / d)=P_{n}(J(z)) / n\right. \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which value is a polynomial in $J$ since the sum is invariant under the modular group and $J$ is a Hauptmodul holomorphic in the upper half-plane. Note that $P_{n}$ is the unique polynomial such that $P_{n}(J(z))$ has a $q$-expansion $q^{-n}$ $\bmod q \mathbf{Z}[q]$.
We introduce a twisted Hecke operator $\widehat{T}_{n}$ which, like $T_{n}$, acts linearly on $q$-coefficients yet takes certain functions $f(z)$ to $P_{n}(f(z)) / n$.
More precisely, we call a function $f$ replicable if there are replicate functions $\left\{f^{(a)}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(f(z)) / n=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{a d=n \\ 0 \leq b<d}} f^{(a)}((a z+b) / d), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $P_{n}(f(z))=q^{-n} \bmod q \mathbf{Z}[q]$, and we define $f \mid \widehat{T}_{n}$ to be the right side of (3).

The monic polynomial $P_{n}(t) \in \mathbf{Z}\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right][t]$ is unique and we shall abuse notation by using $P_{n}$ to denote the polynomial in each case. This definition of $\widehat{T}_{n}$ is provisional since we have not yet incorporated the Galois action.
Note that $J(z)$ of level $N=1$ is the sole normalized modular function on which the Hecke operators $T_{n}$ act as in (2) for all $n$, since ( $N, n$ ) $=1$ for all $n$. Replicable functions are defined so as to share this property under the action of the twisted Hecke operator $\widehat{T}_{n}$. In this case, however, the sum involves both $f$ and its replicates.

From Norton [ $\mathbf{N}$ ] it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{P_{n}(t)}{n} q^{n}=-\ln (q(f(z)-t)) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $P_{1}(t)=t, P_{2}(t)=t^{2}-2 a_{1}, P_{3}(t)=t^{3}-3 a_{1} t-3 a_{2}, \ldots$.
We define coefficients $\left\{H_{m, n}\right\}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \left\lvert\, \widehat{T}_{n}=\frac{1}{n} P_{n}(f(z))=\frac{1}{n} q^{-n}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} H_{m, n} q^{m}\right., \quad n \geq 1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $H_{m, n}$ is the coefficient of $q^{m}$ in $f \mid \widehat{T}_{n}$ and $H_{m, 1}$ is the coefficient of $q^{m}$ in $f$ (denoted $H_{m}$ by Norton).

We find that $P_{n}(t)$ satisfies the recurrence relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}(t)=1, \quad r a_{r-1}+\sum_{k=-1}^{r-2} a_{k} P_{r-k-1}(t)=t P_{r-1}(t), \quad r=1,2, \ldots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $\hat{H}_{r, s}=(r+s) H_{r, s}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{H}_{r, s}=(r+s) H_{r+s-1}+\sum_{m=1}^{r-1} \sum_{n=1}^{s-1} H_{m+n-1} \widehat{H}_{r-m, s-n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Norton has another definition of replicability that is somewhat easier to use in practice.

A function $f$ is replicable if $H_{m, n}=H_{r, s}$ whenever $m n=r s$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)$.
This is equivalent to the definition given above: assume $f$ is replicable in Norton's sense, then set

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(k)}(z)=\sum_{i=-1}^{\infty} a_{i}^{(k)} q^{i} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}^{(k)}=k \sum_{d \mid k} \mu(d) H_{\frac{k}{d}, d k i}, i>0, a_{-1}^{(k)}=1, a_{0}^{(k)}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mu$ is the Möbius function. It follows that $f^{(1)}=f$. For any pair $r, s \in$ $\mathbf{Z}^{>0}$, we find, by Möbius inversion, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{r, r s}=\sum_{d \mid r} \frac{1}{d} a_{r^{2} s / d^{2}}^{(d)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, since $f$ is replicable under Norton's definition, this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{m, n}=\sum_{d \mid(m, n)} \frac{1}{d} a_{m n / d^{2}}^{(d)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, from (5), gives (compare Serre [S, Chap.VII, §5.3])

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \left\lvert\, \widehat{T}_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{a d=n \\ 0 \leq b<d}} f^{(a)}((a z+b) / d) .\right. \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely if $f$ has replicates which satisfy (12) it follows that the $H_{m, n}$ of (5) satisfy (11) and so $f$ is replicable as defined by Norton.

When $n=p$, a prime, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p f \mid \widehat{T}_{p}=f^{(p)}(p z)+\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} f((z+k) / p) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of the standard operators $U_{p}$ and $V_{p}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{p}: a_{n} q^{n} \rightarrow a_{p n} q^{n} \\
& V_{p}: a_{n} q^{n} \rightarrow a_{n} q^{p n}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p f\left|\widehat{T}_{p}=f\right|\left(\Psi^{p} V_{p}+p U_{p}\right)=P_{p}(f(z)) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi^{p}$ acts as an Adams operator (see Mason [Mas]); equivalently we may compute $f^{(p)}$ from

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(p)}(p z)=P_{p}(f(z))-p f \mid U_{p} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Complete replicability. A function is completely replicable if it and all its replicates are replicable. One would expect properties of the monstrous moonshine functions to be shared by the completely replicable functions (and they are). At the end we tabulate all non-monstrous completely replicable functions with rational integer coefficients. Complementary monstrous data are found in [CN] and [MS].
Method of Calculation. To find all completely replicable functions, we computed the larger class of all completely 2 -replicable functions. These are functions whose iterated duplicates are replicable. Table 1 of [ N$]$ contains a list of all completely 2-replicable functions satisfying $f^{(2)}=f$. We call $g$ a replication $p^{\text {th }}$ root of $f$ if $g^{(p)}=f$. With a small prime $\pi$, the replication square roots of these functions are found by first testing all choices of $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ and $a_{5} \bmod 2 \pi$ for replicability using replication identities and identities derived from them (see [CN]). Solutions are then lifted by $\pi$-adic approximation using identities up to $H_{145}=H_{5,29}$ so that the solutions found $\bmod 2 \pi$, for some prime $\pi$, lift uniquely to $2 \pi^{k}, k>1$.

These calculations require further coefficients which are computed from $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{5}$ and the coefficients of $f^{(2)}$ via the generalized Mahler recurrence relations [Mah] (compare [B]) derived from:

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(\gamma_{0} z\right)+f\left(\gamma_{1} z\right)+f^{(2)}\left(\gamma_{2} z\right) & =f(z)^{2}-2 a_{1} \\
\left(f\left(\gamma_{1} z\right)+f\left(\gamma_{0} z\right)\right) f^{(2)}\left(\gamma_{2} z\right)+f\left(\gamma_{0} z\right) f\left(\gamma_{1} z\right) & =2 a_{2} f-f^{(2)}+2\left(a_{4}-a_{1}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\gamma_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right), \quad \gamma_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right), \quad \gamma_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

namely, for $k \geq 1$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{4 k}=a_{2 k+1}+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_{j} a_{2 k-j}+\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{k}^{2}-a_{k}^{(2)}\right), \\
a_{4 k+1}= \\
\quad a_{2 k+3}+\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{j} a_{2 k+2-j}+\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{k+1}^{2}-a_{k+1}^{(2)}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{2 k}^{2}+a_{2 k}^{(2)}\right)  \tag{17}\\
\quad-a_{2} a_{2 k}+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_{j}^{(2)} a_{4 k-4 j}+\sum_{j=1}^{2 k-1}(-1)^{j} a_{j} a_{4 k-j}, \\
a_{4 k+2}= \\
a_{2 k+2}+\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{j} a_{2 k+1-j}, \quad \text { and } \\
a_{4 k+3}= \\
\quad a_{2 k+4}+\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} a_{j} a_{2 k+3-j}-\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{2 k+1}^{2}-a_{2 k+1}^{(2)}\right) \\
\\
\quad-a_{2} a_{2 k+1}+\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{j}^{(2)} a_{4 k+2-4 j}+\sum_{j=1}^{2 k}(-1)^{j} a_{j} a_{4 k+2-j} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Replication square roots are repeatedly extracted until functions which have no replication square roots mod $2 \pi$ are found. In addition the prime power maps are calculated. In each case enough coefficients of the $p^{\text {th }}$ replicates of the non-monstrous functions are computed from (15) to reduce the number of candidate functions to at most one. A useful check is given by the congruence:

$$
f^{(p)} \equiv f \quad(\bmod p)
$$

Programs in Ford's language ALGEB [F] were written from procedures generated by Maple [M]. For the functions $q^{-1}$ and $q^{-1}+q$ we found no prime for which the solutions $\bmod 2 \pi$ lifted uniquely to $2 \pi^{k}, k>1$. The function $q^{-1}-q$ is a root of $q^{-1}+q$ and we have assumed that no other roots of these functions exist. The recursive relations given here, together with the monstrous data in [CN] or [MS], determine the $q$-series.

Table. The table contains the initial coefficients $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$, and $a_{5}$ of 157 non-monstrous, completely replicable functions, which we believe to be the complete set. Each function is described by a number which is its "replication level", together with a small letter identifier; the prime power-maps follow. Capital letter identifiers indicate monstrous functions, for which

ATLAS notation is used as in [CN]. The ghosts [CN] 25Z, 49Z, and 50Z appear here as 25a, 49a, and 50a.

Non-monstrous completely replicable functions

| $f$ | Power maps |  | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2a | 1A |  | -492 | 0 | -22580 | -367400 |
| 2 b | 1a |  | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 a | 2A |  | -76 | 0 | -702 | -5224 |
| 5 a | 1A |  | -6 | 20 | 15 | 0 |
| 6a | 3A | 2a | -33 | 0 | -153 | -713 |
| 6b | 3A | 2a | 21 | 0 | 171 | 745 |
| 6 c | 3B | 2a | -6 | 0 | 9 | 16 |
| 6d | 3 C | 2A | 16 | -8 | 0 | 28 |
| 8 a | 4A |  | -20 | 0 | -62 | -216 |
| 8b | 4B |  | 8 | 0 | -6 | 48 |
| 8 c | 4B |  | -8 | 0 | -6 | -48 |
| 9 a | 3A |  | 0 | 14 | 0 | 65 |
| 9 b | 3A |  | 9 | -4 | 0 | 2 |
| 9 c | 3B |  | 0 | -4 | 0 | 2 |
| 9d | 3C |  | -3 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| 10a | 5A | 2a | 8 | 0 | 35 | 100 |
| 10b | 5 a | 2A | 2 | -4 | 7 | 0 |
| 10c | 5 a | 2a | -2 | 0 | -5 | 0 |
| 12a | 6A | 4B | -11 | 0 | -21 | -55 |
| 12b | 6A | 4a | 5 | 0 | 27 | 41 |
| 12c | ${ }^{6} \mathrm{C}$ | 4 C | 5 | 0 | -5 | 9 |
| 12d | 6 C | 4D | -3 | 0 | 3 | -7 |
| 12e | 6d | 4B | 4 | 0 | 0 | -4 |
| 12 f | 8d | 4a | -4 | 0 | 0 | -4 |
| 14a | 7A | 2a | -9 | 0 | -15 | -33 |
| 14b | 7B | 2a | -2 | 0 | -1 | 2 |
| 14c | 7A | 2a | 5 | 0 | 13 | 37 |
| 15 a | 5A | 3 C | 5 | -2 | 0 | -1 |
| 15b | 5 a | 3A | 3 | 2 | -3 | 0 |
| 16a | 8B |  | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 16b | 8B |  | 4 | 0 | -2 | 8 |
| 16c | 8B |  | -4 | 0 | -2 | -8 |
| 16d | 8D |  | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 |
| 16e | 8 C |  | 2 | 0 | -2 | 4 |
| 16 f | 8 C |  | -2 | 0 | -2 | -4 |
| 16 g | 8b |  | 2 | 0 | 2 | -4 |
| 16h | 8 b |  | -2 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| 18a | 9 b | 6A | 1 | 4 | 0 | 10 |
| 18b | 日a | 6b | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 18c | 日A | bc | 3 | 0 | $\theta$ | 16 |
| 18d | 8c | 6B | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 |


| 18 e | 9 a | 6C |  | 0 | -2 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 f | 9 b | 6a |  | -3 | 0 | 0 | -2 |
| 18 g | 9b | 6b |  | 3 | 0 | 0 | -2 |
| 18h | 9a | 6A |  | 4 | -2 | 0 | 1 |
| 18i | 9c | 6c |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 |
| 18j | 9d | 8d |  | 1 | -2 | 0 | 1 |
| $20 a$ | 10A | 4 a |  | -6 | 0 | -7 | -14 |
| $20 b$ | 10A | 4 a |  | 4 | 0 | 3 | 16 |
| 20c | 10 C | 4 a |  | -1 | 0 | -2 | 1 |
| 20d | 10B | 4 C |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | -4 |
| 20e | 10b | 4B |  | 2 | 0 | -1 | 0 |
| 22a | 11A | 2a |  | 3 | 0 | 4 | 11 |
| 24a | 12A | 8 a |  | -5 | 0 | -5 | -9 |
| 24b | 12A | 8 a |  | 1 | 0 | 7 | 9 |
| 24c | 12B | $8 \mathbf{a}$ |  | -2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 24d | 12C | 8 b |  | -1 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 24e | 12C | 8 c |  | 1 | 0 | 3 | -3 |
| $24 f$ | 12 C | 8 C |  | -3 | 0 | -1 | -3 |
| 24 g | 12 C | 8 C |  | 3 | 0 | -1 | 3 |
| 24h | 12E | 8E |  | 1 | 0 | -1 | 1 |
| 24i | 12e | 8b |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24j | 12e | 8c |  | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $25 a$ | 5B |  |  | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26a | 13A | 2 a |  | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| 27a | 9A |  |  | 3 | -1 | 0 | -1 |
| 27b | 9A |  |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| 27 c | 9 B |  |  | 0 | -1 | 0 | -1 |
| 27d | 9 b |  |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | -1 |
| 27e | 9 b |  |  | 0 | -1 | 0 | 2 |
| 28 a | 14A | 4a |  | 1 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| 30a | 15A | 10a | 6 b | -4 | 0 | -4 | -5 |
| 30b | 15a | 10A | 6d | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| 30c | 15B | 10a | 6 c | -1 | 0 | -1 | 1 |
| 30d | 15A | 10a | 6 a | 2 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| 30e | 15b | 10b | 6A | -1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 30 f | 15b | 10c | 6 b | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 32a | 16a |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 32b | 18A |  |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 32c | 16b |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 32d | 18b |  |  | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 32e | 16d |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34a | 17 A | 2 a |  | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 35a | 7 A | 5 a |  | 1 | -1 | 1 | 0 |
| 36a | 18a | 12b |  | -1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 36b | 18e | 12 A |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| 36c | 18h | 12b |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 36d | 18a | 12C |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 36e | 18e | 12d |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 36f | 18 C | 12I |  | -1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{3 6 g}$ | 18d | 12 F |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 36h | 18h | 12a |  | -2 | 0 | 0 | -1 |


| 36i | 18c | 12 f |  | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38a | 18A | 2a |  | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 40a | 20A | 8a |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 40b | 20B | 8b |  | -2 | 0 | -1 | -2 |
| 40c | 20B | 8 c |  | 2 | 0 | -1 | 2 |
| 40d | 20D | 8F |  | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 |
| 40e | 20e | 8 C |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 42a | 21 A | 14a | 6 b | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 42b | 21A | 14c | 6 a | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 42c | 21 C | 14A | 6d | 2 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| 42d | 21D | 14b | 6 c | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 44a | 22 A | 4 B |  | -3 | 0 | -2 | -8 |
| 44b | 22B | 4D |  | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 44c | 22A | 4a |  | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 45a | 15 A | 9b |  | -1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 45b | 15C | 9c |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 45 c | 15b | 9a |  | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| 48a | 24A | 16b |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | -1 |
| 48b | 24 A | 16 c |  | -1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 48 c | 24g | 16e |  | -1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 48d | 24g | 16f |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | -1 |
| 48 e | 24d | 16 g |  | -1 | 0 | -1 | -1 |
| 48 f | 24d | 16h |  | 1 | 0 | -1 | 1 |
| 48 g | 24E | 16a |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48h | 24H | 16d |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 40a | 7 B |  |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 50a | 25a | 10E |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 52a | 28A | 4a |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 54a | 27a | 18B |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 54b | 27c | 18E |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 54 c | 27b | 18 c |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 54d | 27c | 18g |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 56a | 28B | 8 a |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 56b | 28A | 8b |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | -1 |
| 56c | 28A | 8 c |  | -1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 58a | 20A | 2a |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 60a | 30C | 20d | 12c | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| 60b | 30B | 20a | 12b | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 60c | 30b | 20 B | 12e | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 60d | 30e | 20 e | 12a | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 |
| 60 e | 30b | 20b | 12 f | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 63a | 21 A | 9a |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 64a | 32b |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 68a | 33B | 22a | 6a | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 70a | 35A | 14a | 10a | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 72a | 36A | 24c |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 72b | 36b | 24A |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 72c | 36d | 24d |  | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 72d | 36d | 24e |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 72e | 36g | 24F |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76a | 38A | 4 a |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |


| 80a | $40 B$ | $16 a$ |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $82 a$ | $41 A$ | $2 a$ |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $84 a$ | $42 A$ | $28 a$ | $12 b$ | -2 | 0 | -1 | -1 |
| 90a | $45 a$ | $30 B$ | $18 a$ | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| 00b | $45 b$ | $30 A$ | $18 d$ | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| $96 a$ | $48 g$ | $32 a$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $102 a$ | $51 A$ | $34 a$ | $6 a$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $117 a$ | $39 A$ | $9 a$ |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $120 a$ | $60 B$ | $40 a$ | $24 a$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $126 a$ | $63 a$ | $42 a$ | $18 b$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $132 a$ | $66 A$ | $44 a$ | $12 a$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $140 a$ | $70 A$ | $28 a$ | $20 a$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

We correct an error in [MS]: On page 265 class 29 Z should read 25 Z and signs should be inserted compatible with its sign pattern.
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